

Real Property & Title Insurance Update: Week Ending August 11, 2017

August 16, 2017

REAL PROPERTY UPDATE

- Foreclosure/Short Sale/Statute of Limitations: Florida Statute section 95.11(5)(h)'s one year statute of limitations period does not apply to bar Bank's cause of action for deficiency judgment arising out of a short sale Whitney Bank v. Grant, No. 1D16-5112 (Fla. 1st DCA Aug. 7, 2017) (reversed and remanded).
- **Foreclosure/Standing:** Clerk of Court is not a bailee of a negotiable instrument where Bank did not properly assign promissory note Partridge v. Nationstar Mortgage, LLC, No. 2D16-3081 (Fla. 2d DCA Aug. 11, 2017) (reversed).
- Foreclosure/Fraud Claim: fraud claim based on generalized allegations of fraud in mortgage industry, without specific allegations of fraud in subject case, was not a valid basis under Rule 1.540 to relieve defendant from a Consent Final Judgment or Settlement and Release Agreement The Bank of New York Mellon v. Simpson, No. 3D16-2445 (Fla. 3d DCA Aug. 9, 2017) (reversed and remanded).
- **Foreclosure/Tortious Interference:** bank's process server's alleged comments to tenants at the subject property did not fall within absolute litigation privilege because they were unnecessary to effectuate service of process Pace v. Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company National Association, No. 5D16-748 (Fla. 5th DCA Aug. 11, 2017) (reversed and remanded).

CONSUMER FINANCE UPDATE

• **TCPA/Revocation of Consent:** Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., allows partial revocation of consent to receive automated calls from creditor - Schweitzer v. Comenity Bank, No. 16-10498 (11th Cir. Aug. 10, 2017) (reversing summary judgment).

TITLE INSURANCE UPDATE

• Class action: declining to recognize an insurance-examination privilege and holding that Conn. statute which makes documents provided to department of insurance in connection with market conduct examination confidential and not subject to subpoena, does create a privilege or prohibition against disclosure by discovery of the documents and the confidentiality created by the statute is limited to the department of insurance in course of the market conduct examination - Mahon v. Chicago Title Ins., Co., Case No. 3:09CV00690 (D. Conn. Aug., 4, 2017)(granting motion to compel and denying motion for protective order)

Related Practices

Real Property Litigation
Title Insurance
Consumer Finance

©2024 Carlton Fields, P.A. Carlton Fields practices law in California through Carlton Fields, LLP. Carlton Fields publications should not be construed as legal advice on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general information and educational purposes only, and should not be relied on as if it were advice about a particular fact situation. The distribution of this publication is not intended to create, and receipt of it does not constitute, an attorney-client relationship with Carlton Fields. This publication may not be quoted or referred to in any other publication or proceeding without the prior written consent of the firm, to be given or withheld at our discretion. To request reprint permission for any of our publications, please use our Contact Us form via the link below. The views set forth herein are the personal views of the author and do not necessarily reflect those of the firm. This site may contain hypertext links to information created and maintained by other entities. Carlton Fields does not control or guarantee the accuracy or completeness of this outside information, nor is the inclusion of a link to be intended as an endorsement of those outside sites.