

# Where is the "Serve" Button?

December 22, 2014

A handful of recent federal and state court decisions have opened the door for plaintiffs to serve defendants digitally via Facebook and LinkedIn messaging. Although this phenomenon was originally restricted to serving foreign individuals under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(f)(3), **it could soon expand to cover U.S. individuals.** Between March 2013 and February 2014, two federal courts allowed foreign defendants to be served via social media. In both cases, the courts initially determined whether the defendant's resident nation had affirmatively disallowed service via social media in an agreement with the United States. When that question was answered negatively, the courts—the Southern District of New York in *FTC v. PCCare247 Inc.* and the Eastern District of Virginia in *Whoshere, Inc. v. Orun*—examined whether service via social media was “reasonably calculated under the circumstances” to provide notice, in accordance with due process standards. In both cases, the courts allowed service via social media—but required that it be supplemented with service via email. Then, in September 2014, a family court in Staten Island, New York, allowed a defendant to be served via Facebook when the traditional methods of service proved inadequate. Determining that the defendant had been actively using her Facebook account, the court concluded that Facebook provided “**the best chance of the [defendant] getting actual notice of these proceedings.**” Nevertheless, the court also required mailing of service to the defendant's last known address. These cases appear to demonstrate courts' increased willingness to allow service via social media, at least to the extent that it reflects a broader approach to effectuating service on difficult-to-serve defendants. While these three courts have also required concurrent service via traditional routes, the door has been opened. Nonetheless, perhaps in the near future, service via social media will become as widespread as service via email.

## Related Practices

[Technology](#)

[Intellectual Property](#)

# Related Industries

## Technology

©2024 Carlton Fields, P.A. Carlton Fields practices law in California through Carlton Fields, LLP. Carlton Fields publications should not be construed as legal advice on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general information and educational purposes only, and should not be relied on as if it were advice about a particular fact situation. The distribution of this publication is not intended to create, and receipt of it does not constitute, an attorney-client relationship with Carlton Fields. This publication may not be quoted or referred to in any other publication or proceeding without the prior written consent of the firm, to be given or withheld at our discretion. To request reprint permission for any of our publications, please use our Contact Us form via the link below. The views set forth herein are the personal views of the author and do not necessarily reflect those of the firm. This site may contain hypertext links to information created and maintained by other entities. Carlton Fields does not control or guarantee the accuracy or completeness of this outside information, nor is the inclusion of a link to be intended as an endorsement of those outside sites.