

# SEC Whistleblower Awards to Insurance Department Employees?

December 29, 2017

Many federal, state, and local governmental employees may be eligible for awards pursuant to the SEC's whistleblower program under the Dodd-Frank Act. If a report to the SEC leads to an enforcement action that results in sanctions of more than \$1 million, a whistleblower could receive up to 30 percent of the sanction amount. However, under the terms of the program, employees of "law enforcement organizations" are ineligible to receive such whistleblower awards. On July 25, the SEC issued an order granting a whistleblower award to a governmental employee for the first time. The order explains the term "law enforcement organization" as generally having to do "with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of potential violations of law." In this case, the government agency that employed the whistleblower did have such law enforcement responsibilities, but those responsibilities were performed by a "clearly separate agency component." Because the whistleblower did not work in that component of the agency, the SEC found it appropriate to grant an award. The SEC's conclusion, however, was limited to that particular instance, which was "not a situation where a [whistleblower] sought to circumvent the potential responsibilities that his or her government agency might have to investigate or otherwise take action for misconduct." The order does not provide many other facts – such as the identity or nature of governmental employer – that could help predict how the SEC will resolve similar questions in future cases. Accordingly, it is difficult to ascertain the extent to which various categories of persons employed by state insurance regulatory entities might be able to claim whistleblower awards. The SEC would likely make a determination based on the facts and circumstances of each individual case, which could vary widely given the considerable diversity in the organization and operations of such regulators. Nevertheless, this order certainly increases the possibility that employees of state insurance regulators who become aware of potential securities law violations by insurance companies or affiliates will have an economic inducement to advise the SEC.

## **Related Practices**

[Financial Services Regulatory](#)

## **Related Industries**

[Life, Annuity, and Retirement Solutions](#)

[Securities & Investment Companies](#)

©2024 Carlton Fields, P.A. Carlton Fields practices law in California through Carlton Fields, LLP. Carlton Fields publications should not be construed as legal advice on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general information and educational purposes only, and should not be relied on as if it were advice about a particular fact situation. The distribution of this publication is not intended to create, and receipt of it does not constitute, an attorney-client relationship with Carlton Fields. This publication may not be quoted or referred to in any other publication or proceeding without the prior written consent of the firm, to be given or withheld at our discretion. To request reprint permission for any of our publications, please use our Contact Us form via the link below. The views set forth herein are the personal views of the author and do not necessarily reflect those of the firm. This site may contain hypertext links to information created and maintained by other entities. Carlton Fields does not control or guarantee the accuracy or completeness of this outside information, nor is the inclusion of a link to be intended as an endorsement of those outside sites.