

# Bill Introduced on Warrantless GPS Tracking

March 25, 2013

On March 21, a bipartisan group of legislators in the House of Representatives introduced a new bill, the Geolocation Privacy and Surveillance Act, which would force law enforcement to obtain a warrant to track suspects with GPS devices. Sponsored by House Judiciary Committee ranking member Rep. John Conyers (D-Mich.) and Reps. Jason Chaffetz (R-Utah) and Jim Sensenbrenner (R-Wis.), it provides a "legal framework" with guidelines on when and how GPS devices may be accessed and used by law enforcement. The bill was introduced one day after the Obama administration argued before a federal appeals court that authorities should not be required to obtain a warrant to attach GPS devices to cars. The debate over warrantless GPS tracking has been underway for years. Last year, in [\*United States v. Jones\*](#), the U.S. Supreme Court ruled in a unanimous decision that the Fourth Amendment protection of "persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures" would be violated if law enforcement agencies were allowed to attach a GPS device to a suspect's vehicle without obtaining a warrant. However, the Supreme Court's decision left the door open for law enforcement to use other devices such as smartphones and systems like OnStar for warrantless location-tracking. The Geolocation Privacy and Surveillance Act is intended to close these loopholes. Carlton Fields will monitor this bill as it moves through the House. If this bill were to become law, any companies engaged in the operation of a telematics system or in the design and manufacturing of GPS-enabled devices may be impacted.

## Authored By



Dennis J. Olle

# Related Practices

[Business Transactions](#)

[Cybersecurity and Privacy](#)

©2024 Carlton Fields, P.A. Carlton Fields practices law in California through Carlton Fields, LLP. Carlton Fields publications should not be construed as legal advice on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general information and educational purposes only, and should not be relied on as if it were advice about a particular fact situation. The distribution of this publication is not intended to create, and receipt of it does not constitute, an attorney-client relationship with Carlton Fields. This publication may not be quoted or referred to in any other publication or proceeding without the prior written consent of the firm, to be given or withheld at our discretion. To request reprint permission for any of our publications, please use our Contact Us form via the link below. The views set forth herein are the personal views of the author and do not necessarily reflect those of the firm. This site may contain hypertext links to information created and maintained by other entities. Carlton Fields does not control or guarantee the accuracy or completeness of this outside information, nor is the inclusion of a link to be intended as an endorsement of those outside sites.