

A New Year, New Obligations for Employers

January 28, 2015

The New Year brought new obligations for employers under the Affordable Care Act (ACA). The most significant is the employer mandate, requiring large employers to provide ACA-compliant insurance coverage to fulltime employees or be subject to penalties under section 4980H of the Internal Revenue Code (IRC). As a result, large employers must offer ACA-compliant health coverage, or potentially pay a penalty to the Internal Revenue Service (IRS). In addition, employers must timely file certain reports with the IRS relating to health insurance coverage. Although the ACA defines a large employer as an employer with 50 or more full-time employees, the employer mandate is being implemented in phases. It became effective on January 1, 2015, for employers with 100 or more fulltime employees and will apply to employers with 50-99 fulltime employees beginning January 1, 2016. Specifically, under the ACA, an applicable large employer must offer health coverage to its fulltime employees and certain of their dependents. The coverage must: (1) provide “minimum essential coverage”; (2) be “affordable”; and (3) satisfy a minimum value requirement. The requirements to establish “affordable” coverage that provides “minimal essential coverage” and “minimum value” are outlined in federal regulations in detail. Employers must ensure employer provided health insurance coverage complies with these requirements under the ACA. If employers have questions or concerns regarding these requirements, they should speak with counsel knowledgeable of the ACA. If a large employer does not offer “minimum essential coverage” to at least 70 percent of its fulltime employees in 2015, and a fulltime employee receives subsidized coverage through a public marketplace, then the employer will owe a penalty of \$2,000 per year, indexed to inflation, for each fulltime employee in excess of 80 employees (note that 80 will be reduced to 30 in 2016). Additionally, if a large employer does not offer “affordable, minimum value coverage” to its fulltime employees, the employer will owe a penalty of the lesser of \$2,000 for each fulltime employee in excess of 80 (30 after 2015) or \$3,000 per year for each fulltime employee who receives subsidized coverage. For the 2015 tax year, employers and/or health insurance issuers are now required to report to the IRS information about employer-sponsored health coverage. Section 6055 of the IRC, requires any entity, including self-insured employers, that provides minimum essential coverage to an individual during a calendar year to file an information return (Form 1095-B)

and transmittal (Form 1094-B) with the IRS and a statement to the insured person. Based on this, the IRS will determine which months, if any, individuals were covered by minimum essential coverage. Section 6056 requires applicable large employers to file an information return (Form 1095-C) and transmittal (Form 1094-C) with the IRS and a statement to the insured person regarding employer-offered health care coverage. To streamline the reporting process, self-insured employers that are also subject to section 6056 may use the Form 1095-C to satisfy the return and transmittal requirements. The IRS will use the information reported by employers pursuant to section 6056 to administer the section 4980H penalties and the premium tax credit program. The first returns will be due to the IRS by February 28, 2016 (or March 31, if filed electronically). Written statements are due to employees by January 31 for the prior year. The ACA remains subject to both judicial and legislative challenges. The U.S. Supreme Court will review the Fourth Circuit's decision in *King v. Burwell*, 759 F. 3d 358 (4th Circuit 2014), upholding an IRS regulation permitting the government to subsidize health insurance on both federal and state created exchanges. If the Supreme Court were to decide to restrict the subsidies to only those individuals who purchased on the state exchanges, this would have a major impact on employers. Employers without a state exchange would not be subject to penalties for noncompliance as the trigger for penalties is one or more employees purchasing subsidized health insurance. With oral arguments set for March 4, 2015, the Supreme Court's decision is expected in the summer and could have far reaching impacts for employers and the ACA. Reprinted with permission from the Wednesday, January 28, 2015 edition of the *Miami Daily Business Review* ©2015 ALM Media Properties, LLC. All rights reserved. Further duplication without permission is prohibited.

Authored By



Linda L. Fleming

Related Practices

[Health Care](#)

[Labor & Employment](#)

Related Industries

[Health Care](#)

©2024 Carlton Fields, P.A. Carlton Fields practices law in California through Carlton Fields, LLP. Carlton Fields publications should not be construed as legal advice on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general information and educational purposes only, and should not be relied on as if it were advice about a particular fact situation. The distribution of this publication is not intended to create, and receipt of it does not constitute, an attorney-client relationship with Carlton Fields. This publication may not be quoted or referred to in any other publication or proceeding without the prior written consent of the firm, to be given or withheld at our discretion. To request reprint permission for any of our publications, please use our Contact Us form via the link below. The views set forth herein are the personal views of the author and do not necessarily reflect those of the firm. This site may contain hypertext links to information created and maintained by other entities. Carlton Fields does not control or guarantee the accuracy or completeness of this outside information, nor is the inclusion of a link to be intended as an endorsement of those outside sites.