

Published Opinions

Wolicki-Gables v. Arrow Int'l, Inc., 634 F.3d 1296 (11th Cir. 2011) (affirming summary judgment under *Riegel* preemption and affirming that inference of defect did not apply).

Chapman v. DePuy Orthopaedics, Inc., 760 F. Supp. 2d 1310 (M.D. Fla. 2011) (granting summary judgment for medical device manufacturer based upon choice of law analysis and application of Virginia's statute of limitations).

Gomez v. Pfizer, Inc., No. 09-22700-CIV, 2010 WL 4102922 (S.D. Fla. Oct. 18, 2010) (plaintiff alleging failure to warn claims regarding over-the-counter product must respond to discovery and provide specific warnings language that allegedly should have accompanied product).

Howe v. Wyeth Inc., No. 8:09-CV-610-T-17AEP, 2010 WL 1708857 (M.D. Fla. Apr. 26, 2010) (granting summary judgment for name brand manufacturers when plaintiff used only generic product).

Levine v. Wyeth Inc., 684 F. Supp. 2d 1338 (M.D. Fla. 2010) (granting summary judgment for name brand manufacturers when plaintiff used only generic product).

Doriand v. Centocor Inc., No. 1:09-cv-00078-MP-AK, 2010 WL 325742 (N.D. Fla. Jan. 26, 2010) (rejecting plaintiff's attempt to add a "sharing" provision to a protective order).

Gomez v. Pfizer, Inc., 675 F. Supp. 2d 1159 (S.D. Fla. 2009) (granting motion to dismiss claims of negligence and strict liability under *Iqbal* and *Twombly*).

Dietrich v. Wyeth, Inc., No. 50-2009-CA-021586 XXX MB, 2009 WL 4924722 (Fla. Cir. Ct. 15th Jud. Cir. Dec. 21, 2009) (granting summary judgment for name brand manufacturers when plaintiff used only generic product).

Devore v. Howmedica Osteonics Corp., 658 F. Supp. 2d 1372 (M.D. Fla. 2009) (defendant properly removed based upon plaintiff's discovery responses and fraudulent joinder of alleged product distributor).

Wolicki-Gables v. Arrow Int'l, Inc., 641 F. Supp. 2d 1270 (M.D. Fla. 2009) (granting summary judgment under *Riegel* preemption, learned intermediary doctrine, and failure to present expert proof).

Colville v. Pharmacia & Upjohn Co., 565 F. Supp. 2d 1314 (N.D. Fla. 2008) (granting summary judgment under learned intermediary doctrine, adequacy of warning, and failure to show actionable injury).

Wolicki-Gables v. Arrow Int'l, Inc., No. 8:08-CV-151-T-17MSS, 2008 WL 2773721 (M.D. Fla. June 17, 2008) (granting motion to dismiss claims of strict liability and negligence under *Twombly*).

©2012 Carlton Fields, PA. All rights reserved.

Carlton Fields publications should not be construed as legal advice on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general information purposes only and may not be quoted or referred to in any other publication or proceeding without the prior written consent of the Firm, to be given or withheld at our discretion. To request reprint permission for any of our publications, please use our "Contact Us" form, which can be found on our website at www.carltonfields.com. The distribution of this publication is not intended to create, and receipt of it does not constitute, an attorney-client relationship. The views set forth herein are the personal views of the author and do not necessarily reflect those of the Firm.



Products and Toxic Torts Liability

Beale v. Biomet, Inc., 492 F. Supp. 2d 1360 (S.D. Fla. 2007) (granting summary judgment under learned intermediary doctrine and adequacy of warning, applying doctrine to deceptive advertising claims, and holding that neither the direct-to-consumer nor over-promotion exceptions exists under Florida law).

Chase v. Novartis Pharm. Corp., No. 8:04-cv-885-T-26TBM, 2006 WL 6627827 (M.D. Fla. Sept. 29, 2006) (granting summary judgment under learned intermediary doctrine).

Sharp v. Leichus, No. 2004-CA-0643, 2006 WL 515532 (Fla. Cir. Ct. 2d Jud. Cir. Feb. 17, 2006) (granting summary judgment for name brand manufacturers when plaintiff used only generic product), *aff'd per curiam*, 952 So. 2d 555 (Fla. 1st DCA 2007).

Seminal Cases

Wolicki-Gables v. Arrow Int'l, Inc., 634 F.3d 1296 (11th Cir. 2011) (Eleventh Circuit's first application of Riegel preemption to require specific allegations of parallel claims).

Wolicki-Gables v. Arrow Int'l, Inc., 641 F. Supp. 2d 1270 (M.D. Fla. 2009) (Riegel preemption of PMA-device claims against alleged manufacturer, distributor, and manufacturer's representative).

Colville v. Pharmacia & Upjohn Co., 565 F. Supp. 2d 1314 (N.D. Fla. 2008) (learned-intermediary doctrine applies when prescriber independently assesses risk and decides not to warn plaintiff).

Beale v. Biomet, Inc., 492 F. Supp. 2d 1360 (S.D. Fla. 2007) (learned-intermediary doctrine applies to medical devices and FDUTPA claims, no Florida court recognizes direct-to-consumer or overpromotion exceptions).

Alexander v. Danek Med., Inc., 37 F. Supp. 2d 1346 (M.D. Fla. 1999) (defect requires expert proof).

Savage v. Danek Med., Inc., 31 F. Supp. 2d 980 (M.D. Fla. 1999) (same).

Adams v. G.D. Searle & Co., 576 So. 2d 728 (Fla. 2d DCA 1991) (comment k applied).

Felix v. Hoffmann-LaRoche, Inc., 540 So. 2d 102 (Fla. 1989) (learned-intermediary doctrine adopted as Florida law).

For more information, please contact:

Edward W. Gerecke
egerecke@carltonfields.com
www.carltonfields.com/egerecke
813.229.4306