

Junk Bond Fund Failure Challenges Industry

April 25, 2016

The December failure and ongoing liquidation of the Third Avenue Focused Credit Fund (TAFCF) provides potential ammunition to significant financial services industry players who believe mutual funds and investment managers can present significant risks to the financial system and, in some cases, should be subject to special regulation by the Federal Reserve or more bank-like regulation by the SEC. See "FSOC Presses SEC on Money Managers' Systemic Risks," *Expect Focus*, Winter 2015. Due to heavy redemption requests and insufficient liquidity in its portfolio of high-yield securities, TAFCF elected to suspend redemptions and liquidate. The SEC issued a temporary order on an emergency basis to facilitate that process, subject to certain conditions. The SEC staff also immediately sent "sweep" requests to managers of other high-yield funds, requesting numerous types of information relevant to the funds' liquidity and related practices. The staff's sense of urgency was underscored by the unusually tight response deadline it set. In addition, the SEC's Office of Compliance Inspections and Examinations subsequently published its 2016 Examination Priorities that included scrutiny of liquidity controls of advisers to funds "that have exposure to potentially illiquid debt securities." Similarly, two major pending SEC rule proposals share the principal objective of reducing any possibility of inadequate fund liquidity: see "SEC Proposes New Limits on Funds' Use of Derivatives" on page 9 and "SEC Proposes Liquidity Risk Programs for Funds," *Expect Focus*, Fall 2015. These strong responses by the SEC and its staff bolster the SEC's argument that it has the expertise and vigor to remain the primary regulator of the risks associated with funds and money managers, and that neither bank-like regulation nor much greater involvement by other regulators is necessary or desirable. The fund industry generally agrees with those arguments and, therefore, should hope that, as it seems, the circumstances leading to TAFCF's failure were aberrational.

Authored By



Thomas C. Lauer

Related Practices

Securities Litigation and Enforcement

©2024 Carlton Fields, P.A. Carlton Fields practices law in California through Carlton Fields, LLP. Carlton Fields publications should not be construed as legal advice on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general information and educational purposes only, and should not be relied on as if it were advice about a particular fact situation. The distribution of this publication is not intended to create, and receipt of it does not constitute, an attorney-client relationship with Carlton Fields. This publication may not be quoted or referred to in any other publication or proceeding without the prior written consent of the firm, to be given or withheld at our discretion. To request reprint permission for any of our publications, please use our Contact Us form via the link below. The views set forth herein are the personal views of the author and do not necessarily reflect those of the firm. This site may contain hypertext links to information created and maintained by other entities. Carlton Fields does not control or guarantee the accuracy or completeness of this outside information, nor is the inclusion of a link to be intended as an endorsement of those outside sites.