

Legal Due Diligence in Foreign Jurisdictions

December 18, 2018

Prior to acquiring an equity interest in a foreign company, U.S. investors tend to feel insecure when determining the scope of the legal due diligence process to be conducted.

In these instances, the U.S. investors seek assistance from a local law firm, and are often surprised with the high number of U.S.-trained attorneys available in most foreign jurisdictions. Such attorneys are available to determine the scope of the legal due diligence required for the specific acquisition. However, an understanding by the U.S. investors of basic elements and concepts is helpful to maximize the results of the process.

Following are 11 different areas of legal and/or documentary review that are usually covered by legal due diligence of a foreign target.

Corporate

The primary objective of corporate legal due diligence is to determine whether the seller of the target has title to the shares, or equity interest, being sold, and whether such shares are encumbered by some form of lien or other encumbrance for the benefit of an uninvolved third party. In most jurisdictions upon request, a commercial registry will issue official documents setting forth the shareholders, or equity interest holders, of the target. However, depending on the jurisdiction where the commercial registry is located, these searches may take several days or even weeks to become available, possibly causing a delay in the acquisition process.

If the acquisition does not involve all of the shares, or equity interest, of the target, the relevant Shareholders' Agreement should be reviewed and analyzed. In many cases, such agreement will be renegotiated between the U.S. investors and the remaining shareholders of the target prior to the completion of the acquisition, setting forth the terms and conditions governing the U.S. investors' participation in the target as a shareholder and how the target will operate going forward.

Regulatory

To determine whether the target is, and has been, in compliance with regulatory legal due diligence, all applicable regulatory requirements. Local counsel must first determine whether the target is engaged in any regulated activities and if so, what local agencies regulate such activities. Once the determination has been made, local counsel should assess the regulatory requirements that are applicable to the target's regulated activities, and whether the target has been in compliance.

If the target has not complied with all applicable regulations, local counsel should determine whether it is possible for the target to become compliant without the application of any relevant fines or penalties levied by the applicable regulatory agency, following the completion of the acquisition. In the event the target is subject to any fines or penalties, local counsel should present an assessment of such fines and/or penalties to the U.S. investors so they can make an informed decision on whether to proceed with the acquisition and what contingencies, if any, they want to include in the acquisition agreements.

Real Estate

The primary real estate legal due diligence objective is to determine whether the target owns or leases any real estate, or a combination of both. If the target owns any real estate, local counsel should undertake the appropriate process in the relevant jurisdiction to determine who has valid title to the real estate property, and if there are any encumbrances such as liens or easements. As with the shareholder searches in the commercial registries, real estate registry search results may take several days or even weeks to become available, possibly causing a delay in the acquisition process.

If the target leases one or more real estate properties, local counsel should analyze the terms and conditions of the lease agreement(s) and whether the laws of the jurisdictions supersede, modify or supplement certain terms of lease agreements, to determine whether the consummation of the transaction will have any effect on the leases, such as requiring any waivers or assignments.

Non-Financial Contracts

Prior to reviewing any non-financial contracts, local counsel and U.S. investors must first determine which contracts are material and should be the subject of review. Considering the business and size of the target, local counsel and the U.S. investors should establish a threshold (most commonly the monetary value involved in the contract) in order to determine which contracts are material.

However, other contracts that do not meet this threshold but are strategic to the operation of the target's business, should also be considered material and subject to review.

Once the material contracts are identified, local counsel should review each contract's terms and provide a due diligence report setting forth the parties, the amounts involved, whether any penalties and/or termination rights exist, and whether the contract is terminable or automatically terminates

as a result of a change of control (possibly as a result of the relevant transaction). In the event any contracts include a change of control provision that would be triggered as a result of the transaction, the target may be required to seek a consent/waiver to such condition from the counterparty to the contract.

Financial Contracts

Similar to non-financial contracts legal due diligence, local counsel and U.S. investors must establish a threshold for determining which financial contracts are material, determined largely by the size of the target and the amount of indebtedness and financial arrangements into which it has entered. Given the nature of financial contracts, however, materiality will be more closely tied to the monetary value of the contract as opposed to its strategic nature, as these agreements are mostly finance- and debt-related.

Once the material contracts are identified, local counsel should review their terms and provide a due diligence report setting forth the parties, the amounts involved, whether any penalties and/or termination rights exists, if the contract is terminable or automatically terminates as a result of a change of control, whether the acquisition of the target would accelerate any maturity date or cause an event of default under any contract, and if any such occurrence would result in cross default of other financial contracts. In the event any financial contracts include a change of control provision that would be triggered as a result of the transaction, or results in a default and possibly cross default, the target should seek a consent/waiver to such condition from the counterparty.

Tax Liabilities

The primary purpose of tax legal due diligence is to determine the tax liabilities to which the target is subject. There are two categories of tax liabilities: materialized and contingent liabilities.

Materialized liabilities are those that actually have been incurred or are currently known and/or the subject of a judicial or administrative tax proceeding. Generally, local counsel should analyze the materialized liabilities and conduct a risk assessment analysis, categorizing the materialized liabilities as either generating a (i) remote chance of loss, (ii) possible chance of loss, or (iii) probable chance of loss.

Contingent liabilities are those that are not subject to any administrative or judicial proceeding, but could arise as a result of the practices and policies adopted by the target in its operations. Auditors engaged by U.S. investors in the financial due diligence process should conduct an analysis of such practices and policies to determine the contingent liabilities and the amounts involved, and should request that local counsel assess the risk (i.e., classify the contingent liability as generating a remote, possible or probable chance of loss).

Labor Liabilities

Similarly to tax legal due diligence, labor legal due diligence determines the labor liabilities to which the target is subject. Like tax liabilities, labor liabilities are either materialized or contingent, and local counsel should analyze the materialized labor liabilities and conduct a risk assessment analysis while the financial auditors conduct a risk analysis of the practices and policies adopted by the target to determine the contingent labor liabilities.

Civil Litigation Liabilities

Unlike tax and labor legal due diligence, civil litigation legal due diligence only focuses on materialized liabilities. Given the nature of civil litigation, it is usually impossible to determine the effect of the practices and policies adopted by the target on potential civil litigation. Generally, local counsel should analyze the materialized civil litigation liabilities, conduct a risk assessment analysis, and categorize them as either generating a remote, possible, or probable chance of loss.

Intellectual Property

Prior to engaging in intellectual property (IP) legal due diligence, local counsel and U.S. investors must first determine whether the operations of the target are heavily dependent upon intellectual property. If so, local counsel will review the target's IP registrations and filings to determine whether they have been properly secured in all applicable jurisdictions.

Environmental

Environmental legal due diligence determines whether the target's operations require any environmental licenses and, if so, which environmental liabilities are relevant to the target.

Local counsel must first determine whether the target is engaged in any activities subject to environmental licenses and laws, and what agencies regulate such activities. Once this is determined, local counsel should assess which requirements are applicable to the relevant activities and whether the target has been in compliance. Similarly to civil litigation due diligence, local counsel should also analyze any materialized environmental litigation liabilities and conduct a risk assessment analysis.

Depending on the nature of the target's operations, U.S. investors may also consider engaging an environmental consultant to analyze the target's policies and practices, and any potential contingent liabilities.

Insurance

Insurance legal due diligence provides U.S. investors with a clear understanding of the coverage that has been contracted by the target to protect its assets and operations, so that U.S. investors may confirm whether the target is appropriately and sufficiently insured.

Conclusion

Although U.S. investors may rely on local counsel to ensure all relevant aspects are covered in a legal due diligence performed in a foreign jurisdiction, an educated U.S. investor familiar with the process will be better positioned to extract the most out of it, positioning itself to better negotiate the terms of the transaction, and determine whether to:

1. decrease the purchase price;
2. include additional contingencies;
3. include contingent/staggered payouts;
4. increase amounts to be held in escrow; and/or
5. ultimately consummate the transaction.

Related Practices

[International](#)

[Mergers and Acquisitions](#)

©2024 Carlton Fields, P.A. Carlton Fields practices law in California through Carlton Fields, LLP. Carlton Fields publications should not be construed as legal advice on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general information and educational purposes only, and should not be relied on as if it were advice about a particular fact situation. The distribution of this publication is not intended to create, and receipt of it does not constitute, an attorney-client relationship with Carlton Fields. This publication may not be quoted or referred to in any other publication or proceeding without the prior written consent of the firm, to be given or withheld at our discretion. To request reprint permission for any of our publications, please use our Contact Us form via the link below. The views set forth herein are the personal views of the author and do not necessarily reflect those of the firm. This site may contain hypertext links to information created and maintained by other entities. Carlton Fields does not control or guarantee the accuracy or completeness of this outside information, nor is the inclusion of a link to be intended as an endorsement of those outside sites.