

## New Hampshire Supreme Court Invalidates Long-Term Care Rate Caps

May 05, 2021

The New Hampshire Supreme Court recently determined that regulations limiting premium rate increases for long-term care insurance policies exceeded the state insurance commissioner's rulemaking authority and were therefore invalid.

The decision arose from Genworth's challenge to New Hampshire's amended regulations for long-term care insurance policies, which capped premium rate increases based on an insured's attained age and barred the insurance commissioner from approving any requested increase above the stated caps. Genworth argued that the regulations exceeded the commissioner's statutory authority to "issue reasonable rules to promote premium adequacy and to protect the policyholder in the event of substantial rate increases," and did just the opposite. The trial court ruled in favor of the insurance department and Genworth appealed.

The New Hampshire Supreme Court, however, sided with Genworth. The court explained that "an insurer's ability to cover costs depends, at least in part, on its ability to increase rates when its actuarial assumptions prove flawed." The amended regulations, however, did not afford the commissioner the discretion to approve rate increases that exceeded the caps, nor did they contain any exception to exceed the caps to avoid premium inadequacy. The court also observed that the premium cap rates failed to protect policyholders "in the event of" substantial rate increases because the commissioner could not approve any substantial rate increase in the first place.

The decision is an important victory for insurers issuing long-term care insurance policies in New Hampshire in their efforts to maintain premium adequacy. While it does not prevent the commissioner from promulgating new premium cap regulations that comport with the court's analysis, it may allow the commissioner to consider rate increase regulations that account for the inherent difficulties insurers face in predicting costs for long-term care insurance policies.

## **Authored By**



Todd M. Fuller

## **Related Practices**

Life, Annuity, and Retirement Litigation Life, Annuity, and Retirement Solutions

## **Related Industries**

Life, Annuity, and Retirement Solutions Life, Annuity, and Retirement Solutions

©2024 Carlton Fields, P.A. Carlton Fields practices law in California through Carlton Fields, LLP. Carlton Fields publications should not be construed as legal advice on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general information and educational purposes only, and should not be relied on as if it were advice about a particular fact situation. The distribution of this publication is not intended to create, and receipt of it does not constitute, an attorney-client relationship with Carlton Fields. This publication may not be quoted or referred to in any other publication or proceeding without the prior written consent of the firm, to be given or withheld at our discretion. To request reprint permission for any of our publications, please use our Contact Us form via the link below. The views set forth herein are the personal views of the author and do not necessarily reflect those of the firm. This site may contain hypertext links to information created and maintained by other entities. Carlton Fields does not control or guarantee the accuracy or completeness of this outside information, nor is the inclusion of a link to be intended as an endorsement of those outside sites.