

Real Property, Financial Services & Title Insurance Update: Week Ending January 22, 2016

January 26, 2016

REAL PROPERTY UPDATE

- Foreclosure/Condition Precedent: providing a notice of the assignment of mortgage loan, as required by section 559.715, Florida Statutes, is not a condition precedent to filing a mortgage foreclosure suit. Question certified: Is the provision of written notice of assignment under section 559.715 a condition precedent to the institution of a foreclosure lawsuit by the holder of the note. Brindise v. U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee, for the Benefit of Harborview 2005-3 Trust Fund, et al. No. 2D14-3316 (Fla. 2d DCA January 20, 2016) (affirmed; question certified)
- Foreclosure/Condition Precedent: substantial compliance, not strict compliance, is required and default notice was in substantial compliance with provisions of mortgage - Bank of America v.
 Cadet, No. 3D15-669 (Fla. 3rd DCA January 20, 2016) (reversed and remanded).
- Foreclosure/Standing: plaintiff failed to provide evidence establishing its standing at the
 inception of the suit; note with undated endorsement filed after the complaint was filed was
 insufficient Ha, et al. v. BAC Home Loans Servicing L.P. f/k/a Countrywide Home Loans Servicing,
 L.P., No. 3D15-669 (Fla. 4th DCA January 20, 2016) (reversed).
- Foreclosure/Default: court erred in denying the borrower's motions to vacate the clerk's default where the borrower had filed papers before the clerk entered the default Stuart-Findlay v. Bank of America, National Association, et. al., No. 4D14-683 (Fla. 4th DCA January 20, 2016) (reversed and remanded).
- Foreclosure/Deficiency: trial court erred in failing to consider the original notes and mortgages, which had been submitted and considered by the trial court in connection with the earlier final judgment of foreclosure, when determining liability for deficiency judgment SVI Capital, LLC v. Coon, et. al., No. 4D14-1685 (Fla. 4th DCA January 20, 2016) (reversed and remanded).

Foreclosure/Notice of Default/Hearsay: trial court abused discretion by allowing testimony
regarding a business record not in evidence to establish that Plaintiff complied with mortgage's
pre-foreclosure notice requirements - Helton v. Bank of America, N.A., No. 5D14-2632 (Fla. 5th
DCA January 22, 2016) (reversed and remanded).

TITLE INSURANCE UPDATE

• Title Agent: a title agent and its principal owe no duty the title agent's employee to maintain an errors and omissions policy for the employee's benefit – Fidelity National Title Ins. Co. v. Craven, Case No. 12-4306 (E.D. Pen. Jan. 19, 2016) (memorandum granting motion for summary judgment)

Related Practices

Real Property Litigation
Title Insurance
Consumer Finance

©2024 Carlton Fields, P.A. Carlton Fields practices law in California through Carlton Fields, LLP. Carlton Fields publications should not be construed as legal advice on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general information and educational purposes only, and should not be relied on as if it were advice about a particular fact situation. The distribution of this publication is not intended to create, and receipt of it does not constitute, an attorney-client relationship with Carlton Fields. This publication may not be quoted or referred to in any other publication or proceeding without the prior written consent of the firm, to be given or withheld at our discretion. To request reprint permission for any of our publications, please use our Contact Us form via the link below. The views set forth herein are the personal views of the author and do not necessarily reflect those of the firm. This site may contain hypertext links to information created and maintained by other entities. Carlton Fields does not control or guarantee the accuracy or completeness of this outside information, nor is the inclusion of a link to be intended as an endorsement of those outside sites.