## Real Property & Financial Services Update: Week Ending December 21, 2018

December 21, 2018

**ARITON** 

## **Real Property Update**

- Foreclosure / Intervention: because third-party purchaser purchased property at issue after lender filed its notice of lis pendens, trial court erred by allowing third-party to intervene - Space Coast Credit Union v. Goldman, No. 3D18-252 (Fla. 3d DCA Dec. 18, 2018) (reversed)
- Real Property / Mortgage Priority: evidence that subsequent mortgagee was on notice of irregularities surrounding CitiMortgage's alleged release of its mortgage and voluntary dismissal of foreclosure, (including that purported satisfaction of CitiMortgage's lien was not executed by an officer or agent of CitiMortgage and that purported notice of voluntary dismissal had no certificate of service), created genuine issues of material fact rendering summary judgment improper CitiMortgage v. Porter, No. 3D17-2469 (Fla. 3d DCA Dec. 19, 2018) (reversing summary judgment in favor of subsequent mortgagee)
- Foreclosure / Payment History: bank failed to establish foundation for entry of its business
  records concerning amount due and owning where representative's affidavit said nothing about
  incorporating predecessor servicer's payment records, provided no explanation regarding how
  predecessor's records were verified for accuracy, or how Bank acquired them Sacks v. Bank of
  N.Y. Mellon, No. 4D17-2122 (Fla. 4th DCA Dec. 19, 2018) (affirmed in part, reversed and remanded
  in part)
- Injunction / Easement: latent ambiguity in description of an easement warranted consideration of extrinsic evidence and granting of injunction enforcing easement - Janoura Partners, LLC v. Palm Beach Imports, Inc., No. 4D17-2582 (Fla. 4th DCA Dec. 19, 2018) (affirmed)

 Foreclosure / Amounts Owed: because the values awarded for PMI and interest did not match the loan histories admitted into evidence, bank failed to establish the amount recoverable for those items at summary judgment - Culbertson v. 21st Mortg. Corp., No. 4D18-164 (Fla. 4th DCA Dec. 19, 2018) (affirmed in part, reversed in part)

## **Financial Services Update**

- FCRA / Sufficiency of Pleading: plaintiff failed to state a claim under the FCRA against credit reporting agency for reporting void and uncollectible loans because she did not adequately allege that the disputed debt was inaccurate - Padgett v. Clarity Services, Inc., No. 8:18-cv-1918-T-30CPT (M.D. Fla. Dec. 13, 2018) (dismissing case with prejudice)
- FDCPA: letter to collect on a time-barred debt was not misleading for failing to disclose that a
  partial payment could revive the statute of limitations to sue on the debt because the least
  sophisticated consumer could not be misled by omission of language regarding potential
  consequences Madinya v. Portfolio Recovery Associates, LLC, No. 18-cv-61138-Bloom/Valle (S.D.
  Fla. Dec. 14, 2018) (granting defendant's motion for judgment on the pleadings).
- FDCPA / FCCPA / TILA / Monthly Statements: monthly statements sent to debtor were not communications in connection with collection of debt and complied with TILA requirements -Daniels v. Select Portfolio Servs., Inc., No. 8:18-cv-1652-T-30CPT (M.D. Fla. Dec. 18, 2018) (dismissing case with prejudice)
- TCPA / Denial of Class Certification: Class certification was inappropriate where ascertainability was not administratively feasible and common issues of law and fact do not predominate. Claim involved whether Defendant called class members' phone numbers using a prohibited method and that phone numbers were in some way incorrect; determination of whether numbers were incorrect is complex and fact-specific as to issue of how the number entered Defendant's records and the issue of consent Wilson v. Badcock Home Furniture, No. 8:17-cv-02739-T-02AAS (M.D. Fla. Dec. 19, 2018) (denying motion for class certification)

## **Related Practices**

Real Property Litigation Consumer Finance

©2024 Carlton Fields, P.A. Carlton Fields practices law in California through Carlton Fields, LLP. Carlton Fields publications should not be construed as legal advice on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general information and educational purposes only, and should not be relied on as if it were advice about a particular fact situation. The distribution of this publication is not intended to create, and receipt of it does not constitute, an attorney-client relationship with Carlton Fields. This publication may not be quoted or referred to in any other publication or proceeding without the prior written consent of the firm, to be

given or withheld at our discretion. To request reprint permission for any of our publications, please use our Contact Us form via the link below. The views set forth herein are the personal views of the author and do not necessarily reflect those of the firm. This site may contain hypertext links to information created and maintained by other entities. Carlton Fields does not control or guarantee the accuracy or completeness of this outside information, nor is the inclusion of a link to be intended as an endorsement of those outside sites.