

Real Property & Title Insurance Update: Week Ending July 7, 2017

July 13, 2017

REAL PROPERTY UPDATE

- Takings/Declaratory Relief: plaintiff's request for a declaration that its further pursuit of a zoning application was futile was not a proper claim for declaratory relief GolfRock, LLC v. Lee County, Fla., No. 2D15-2105 (Fla. 2d DCA July 7, 2017) (reversed and remanded with direction to dismiss)
- Foreclosure/Standing: plaintiff that was substituted in after complaint was filed failed to prove
 that its predecessor in interest had standing at the inception of the case Winchel v. PennyMac
 Corp., No. 2D15-5601 (Fla. 2d DCA July 7, 2017) (reversed and remanded for judgment in
 defendant's favor)
- Foreclosure/Intervention: purchaser of property that was the subject of a foreclosure action was
 not entitled to intervene in the action where a notice of lis pendens had been recorded by
 foreclosing lender prior to said purchaser buying the property Tikhomirov v. Bank of New York
 Mellon f/k/a Bank of New York Successor Trustee, No. 16-1032 (Fla. 3d DCA July 5, 2017)
 (affirmed)
- Foreclosure/Allonge: an endorsement and assignment of note was, effectively, an allonge, and, as such, bank was required to, but did not, file original document, as it did not plead a lost note count
 U.S. Bank Nat'l Ass'n, as Trustee v. Kachik, No. 4D16-1776 (Fla. 4th DCA July 5, 2017) (affirmed)
- Foreclosure/Standing: disparity between name of trust in complaint and name of trust in special
 endorsement to promissory note did not create standing defect Bank of New York Mellon Trust
 Co., Nat'l Ass'n, as Trustee v. Ginsberg, No. 4D16-3168 (Fla. 4th DCA July 5, 2017) (reversed and
 remanded)

- Foreclosure/Admissions: because pleadings and other record evidence contradicted the bank's
 technical admissions caused by its failure to timely respond to requests for admission and there
 was no prejudice to borrowers, entry of summary judgment in favor of borrowers was improper –
 Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Shelton, No. 5D15-3283 (Fla. 5th DCA July 7, 2017) (reversed and
 remanded)
- Foreclosure/Standing: trustee failed to show its standing to foreclose at lawsuit's inception where
 there was an allonge indorsing note to a different entity and trustee's current servicer provided no
 evidence indicating when undated indorsement was placed on note Kumar v. U.S. Bank, N.A.
 Successor Trustee, No. 5D16-2889 (Fla. 5th DCA July 7, 2017) (reversed and remanded for entry
 of involuntary dismissal)

TITLE INSURANCE UPDATE

- Title Insurance Coverage: whether 18 months to cure title defect by litigating against holder of undisclosed second mortgage and then settling constitutes removal of the defect in a reasonably diligent manner is a fact question properly resolved by trial court's judgment. Costs invested in property by insured were not recoverable under title policy. And insured failed to prove causation when insurer testified it would have insured over defects if insured had told it she was seeking rehabilitation loan Wade v. Stewart Title Guaranty Co., 2017 IL App (1st) 161765 (III App. 1st Div. June 30, 2017 (Affirming judgment for title insurer after bench trial).
- Replacement Doctrine and Equitable Subrogation: under the replacement doctrine, refinancing lender stepped into the shoes to the extent of the first mortgage lien ahead of a HELOC that was partially paid, but not satisfied at the closing, but equitable subrogation did not apply because the HELOC was not fully paid off US Bank, N.A. v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., No. 1 CA-CV 16-0253 (Arizona App. Div. 1 June 29, 2017) (affirming in part and reversing in part summary judgment for refinancing lender).

Related Practices

Real Property Litigation
Title Insurance
Consumer Finance

©2024 Carlton Fields, P.A. Carlton Fields practices law in California through Carlton Fields, LLP. Carlton Fields publications should not be construed as legal advice on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general information and educational purposes only, and should not be relied on as if it were advice about a particular fact situation. The distribution of this publication is not intended to create, and receipt of it does not constitute, an attorney-client relationship with Carlton Fields. This publication may not be quoted or referred to in any other publication or proceeding without the prior written consent of the firm, to be given or withheld at our discretion. To request reprint permission for any of our publications, please use our Contact Us form via the link below. The views set forth herein are the personal views of the author and do not necessarily reflect those of the firm. This site may contain hypertext links to information created and maintained by other entities. Carlton Fields does not control or guarantee the

accuracy or completeness of this outside information, nor is the inclusion of a link to be intended as an endorsement of those outside sites.