

# Real Property, Financial Services, & Title Insurance Update: Week Ending May 20, 2022

May 20, 2022

## Real Property Update

- **Foreclosure / Doc Stamp Taxes:** Trial court did not abuse its discretion in granting motion for involuntary dismissal of action based on section 201.08(1)(b), Florida Statutes, where documentary stamp taxes had not been paid – [Wilmington Tr., N.A. v. Serpa](#), No. 3D21-1835 (Fla. 3d DCA May 18, 2022) (affirmed in part, and reversed in part)
- **Freclosure / Doc Stamp Taxes:** Trial court erred by entering judgment without regard for bank's payment of outstanding taxes and timely motion to reopen to consider such payment – [Wilmington Tr., N.A. v. Serpa](#), No. 3D21-1835 (Fla. 3d DCA May 18, 2022) (affirmed in part, and reversed in part)

## Financial Services Update

- **Lender Liability / Credit Agreement / Conditions Precedent:** Because borrower did not timely comply with the conditions precedent for forming the alleged written credit agreement extending the maturity date of her loan, a written credit agreement between the parties did not form – [Llanso v. SHEDDF2-FL3, LLC](#), No. 3D21-783 (Fla. 3d DCA May 18, 2022) (affirmed)
- **Lender Liability / Banking Statute of Frauds / Section 687.0304:** Because written credit agreement did not form with borrower, trial court correctly determined that applicable Banking Statute of Frauds precluded borrower's breach of contract action – [Llanso v. SHEDDF2-FL3, LLC](#), No. 3D21-783 (Fla. 3d DCA May 18, 2022) (affirmed)

- **FCRA / Duty to Investigate:** Whether furnisher reasonably investigated disputed reporting and demonstrated the degree of careful inquiry when it verified plaintiff's demographic and account information using only its internal records, remained an open question – [Fowler v. Preferred Collection & Mgmt. Servs., Inc.](#), No. 8:21-cv-01038 (M.D. Fla. May 16, 2022) (order granting in part, and denying in part, summary judgment motion)
- **TILA / Statute of Limitations:** Plaintiff's claim that bank violated TILA by issuing unsolicited credit card in her name and refusing to reverse unauthorized charges was time-barred, as plaintiff was on notice of the card being opened in her name more than three years before filing the lawsuit – [Weiner v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.](#), No. 1:21-cv-05957 (S.D.N.Y. May 18, 2022) (granting motion to dismiss)

## Title Insurance Update

No cases to report

## Related Practices

[Real Property Litigation](#)

[Consumer Finance](#)

[Title Insurance](#)

©2025 Carlton Fields, P.A. Carlton Fields practices law in California through Carlton Fields, LLP. Carlton Fields publications should not be construed as legal advice on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general information and educational purposes only, and should not be relied on as if it were advice about a particular fact situation. The distribution of this publication is not intended to create, and receipt of it does not constitute, an attorney-client relationship with Carlton Fields. This publication may not be quoted or referred to in any other publication or proceeding without the prior written consent of the firm, to be given or withheld at our discretion. To request reprint permission for any of our publications, please use our Contact Us form via the link below. The views set forth herein are the personal views of the author and do not necessarily reflect those of the firm. This site may contain hypertext links to information created and maintained by other entities. Carlton Fields does not control or guarantee the accuracy or completeness of this outside information, nor is the inclusion of a link to be intended as an endorsement of those outside sites.