

# Court Finds that False Claims Act Suit Alleges Uninsurable “Willful Acts”

June 13, 2016

A federal court has ruled that a whistleblower suit under California’s False Claims Act alleged a “willful act” that cannot be covered by liability insurance. In *Office Depot Inc. v. AIG Specialty Insurance Company*, Case No. 15-02416 (C.D. Cal. June 6, 2016), the Court dismissed Office Depot’s coverage claims and found that the insurer owed neither a duty to defend nor indemnify Office Depot against a whistleblower suit under the California False Claims Act. The insurer, AIG Specialty Insurance Company (“ASIC”), represented by Carlton Fields, issued two consecutive policies to Office Depot providing a total of \$30 million in coverage for, among other things, multimedia liability. In March 2009, David Sherwin filed a *qui tam* lawsuit against Office Depot on behalf of more than 1,000 California state and local government entities (the “Whistleblower Suit”). The Whistleblower Suit asserted a single count under the California False Claims Act and alleged that Office Depot employed a “variety of underhanded pricing practices” to defraud California public entities. Office Depot paid \$68.5 million to settle the Whistleblower Suit. Office Depot sought coverage for the settlement of the Whistleblower Suit under the multimedia liability coverage of the ASIC policies. ASIC determined that the Whistleblower Suit did not trigger the policies’ insuring agreements and, in any event, was barred by numerous exclusions. Office Depot disputed ASIC’s coverage determination and filed suit on April 2, 2015, seeking reimbursement for \$30 million of the settlement amount. Office Depot also alleged that ASIC violated the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing. ASIC moved to dismiss the suit based on Section 533 of the California Insurance Code, which bars insurers from insuring the willful acts of the policyholder. Office Depot argued that the Section 533 did not apply, as the scienter requirement for a False Claims Act claim encompassed both uninsurable “willful” conduct and insurable “reckless” conduct. The Court disagreed and found that Section 533 relieved ASIC of any duty to defend or indemnify Office Depot for the Whistleblower Suit. The Court noted that “when a party makes a reckless misrepresentation with the intent of inducing the other party’s reliance, the party’s reliance is the intended result.” Order, p. 9 (internal citations omitted). The Court reasoned that “[b]y requiring that the false claim be submitted for payment and approval, a False Claims Act violation necessarily requires the intent to induce

reliance.” Thus, Section 533 applied to bar coverage for the Whistleblower Suit. The Court did not rule on whether Office Depot’s bad faith claim was viable absent coverage. This is the first reported case addressing the applicability of Section 533 to a False Claims Act suit.

## Authored By



Steven J. Brodie



Mark A. Neubauer



Daniel G. Enriquez

## Related Practices

[Life, Annuity, and Retirement Litigation](#)

## Related Industries

[Property & Casualty Insurance](#)

©2025 Carlton Fields, P.A. Carlton Fields practices law in California through Carlton Fields, LLP. Carlton Fields publications should not be construed as legal advice on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general information and educational purposes only, and should not be relied on as if it were advice about a particular fact situation. The distribution of this publication is not intended to create, and receipt of it does not constitute, an attorney-client relationship with Carlton Fields. This publication may not be quoted or referred to in any other publication or proceeding without the prior written consent of the firm, to be given or withheld at our discretion. To request reprint permission for any of our publications, please use our Contact Us form via the link below. The views set forth herein are the personal views of the author and do not necessarily reflect those of the firm. This site may contain hypertext links to information created and maintained by other entities. Carlton Fields does not control or guarantee the accuracy or completeness of this outside information, nor is the inclusion of a link to be intended as an endorsement of those outside sites.

