

# New Amendments to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure

December 05, 2016

After a broader set of amendments that took effect Dec. 1, 2015, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure have once again been amended as of Dec. 1, 2016. These amendments are important because they will impact the timing of responses to almost all filings in federal court. The new amendments are largely technical, but one will have significant impact on the timing of responses to electronically filed documents. The amendment to FRCP 6(d) will have the biggest practical impact. Federal practitioners — and their administrative staff — have become accustomed to the three additional "mail" days to respond to a motion or other applicable filing if service is completed by any means other than personal delivery. Even though electronic service through the Case Management/Electronic Case Files, or CM/ECF, system is essentially instantaneous, when the system was rolled out nationally in the early 2000s, the Judicial Council believed the three additional days were necessary to alleviate concerns over the reliability of electronic transmission. The Judicial Council has studied the issue and determined that in the decade-plus since the CM/ECF system was established, it has proved reliable, thus obviating the need for the additional time. This means that "days are days" when determining the time to respond to papers filed using CM/ECF. But what about papers that are required to be served — but not filed — such as discovery requests? As amended, FRCP 6(d) does not specifically address this situation. However, litigants in the Southern District of Florida should be aware that the Local Rules of the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida were also amended, effective the same day — Dec. 1, 2016 — to address this situation. Newly added Southern District of Florida Local Rule 5.1(e) states: "Consent to service. Registration as an electronic filing user pursuant to Southern District of Florida CM/ECF Administrative Procedures §3B constitutes consent to receive service electronically pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(b)(2)(E) and Fed. R. Crim. P. 49 and waiver of any right to receive service by any other means. Service of papers required to be served pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(a) and Fed. R. Crim. P. 39 but not filed, such as discovery requests, may be made via email to the address designated by an attorney for receipt of notices of electronic filings." This rule means that discovery papers — and other papers required to be served but not filed — may be served on the attorney of record by email sent to the email address that the receiving attorney designated to receive CM/ECF notices. Litigants should be aware that this rule is specific to the Southern District of Florida and should consult the local rules for each district. The Middle District of Florida does not have such a rule, and at least one published decision

has specifically rejected this approach. **Other Changes** The other two FRCP amendments are less significant. Rule 4(m) mandates dismissal of complaints that are not served within 90 days after they are filed. However, the Rules Committee has long observed that 90-day period is impractical where service must be effected overseas, so the rule does not apply to service on an individual in a foreign country under Rule 4(f) or on a foreign state under Rule 4(j)(i). Prior to the new amendment, FRCP 4(m) did not address service on corporate entities in a foreign territory; the amendments to the rule resolve this ambiguity, clarifying that the 90-day rule does not apply where service on a corporation, partnership or association takes place in a foreign territory pursuant to Rule 4(h)(2). The minor amendment to FRCP 82 brings the rules into accord with the Federal Courts Jurisdiction and Venue Clarification Act of 2011. Rule 82 has thus been amended to reflect the enactment of 28 U.S.C. § 1390 and the repeal of 28 U.S.C. § 1392. *Originally published by the Daily Business Review, Vol. 91 No. 122, Dec. 6, 2016.*

## Authored By



Aaron S. Weiss

©2024 Carlton Fields, P.A. Carlton Fields practices law in California through Carlton Fields, LLP. Carlton Fields publications should not be construed as legal advice on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general information and educational purposes only, and should not be relied on as if it were advice about a particular fact situation. The distribution of this publication is not intended to create, and receipt of it does not constitute, an attorney-client relationship with Carlton Fields. This publication may not be quoted or referred to in any other publication or proceeding without the prior written consent of the firm, to be given or withheld at our discretion. To request reprint permission for any of our publications, please use our Contact Us form via the link below. The views set forth herein are the personal views of the author and do not necessarily reflect those of the firm. This site may contain hypertext links to information created and maintained by other entities. Carlton Fields does not control or guarantee the accuracy or completeness of this outside information, nor is the inclusion of a link to be intended as an endorsement of those outside sites.