

# Cracks in the Armor?

June 17, 2019

The Communications Decency Act has long shielded internet service providers from liability when they re-post fake news or fraudulent information from another provider. The federal statute says no interactive computer service provider or user “shall be treated as the publisher or speaker of any information provided by another information content provider.” 47 U.S.C. § 230(c)(1).

The D.C. Circuit last week affirmed dismissal of a lawsuit against Google, Microsoft, and Yahoo! under this provision. But the court’s opinion and the contentious oral argument suggest the court is growing impatient with immunizing websites from liability for false information.

In the lawsuit, a group of locksmiths sued the internet companies for posting false addresses, including pinpoints on maps, of competing locksmiths. These allegedly “scam” locksmiths were not actually local companies, but had allegedly manipulated mapping algorithms to make themselves appear to be local. The D.C. Circuit found Google, Microsoft, and Yahoo! had simply converted the false street addresses provided by the locksmiths into pinpoints on a map — nothing more. As a result, the Communications Decency Act shielded them from liability.

But “that immunity is not limitless,” the D.C. Circuit noted in a pointed conclusion to its opinion. “[W]e reject the defendants’ remarkable suggestion at oral argument that they would enjoy immunity even if they did in fact entirely fabricate locksmith addresses.”

Read the full opinion, [Marshall’s Locksmith Serv. Inc. v. Google, LLC](#), No. 18-7018 (D.C. Cir. June 7, 2019).

## Authored By



David A. Karp

# Related Practices

## Appellate & Trial Support

©2024 Carlton Fields, P.A. Carlton Fields practices law in California through Carlton Fields, LLP. Carlton Fields publications should not be construed as legal advice on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general information and educational purposes only, and should not be relied on as if it were advice about a particular fact situation. The distribution of this publication is not intended to create, and receipt of it does not constitute, an attorney-client relationship with Carlton Fields. This publication may not be quoted or referred to in any other publication or proceeding without the prior written consent of the firm, to be given or withheld at our discretion. To request reprint permission for any of our publications, please use our Contact Us form via the link below. The views set forth herein are the personal views of the author and do not necessarily reflect those of the firm. This site may contain hypertext links to information created and maintained by other entities. Carlton Fields does not control or guarantee the accuracy or completeness of this outside information, nor is the inclusion of a link to be intended as an endorsement of those outside sites.