

# Florida Appeals Court Decisions: Week of April 1 - 5, 2019

April 06, 2019

#### U.S. Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals

Guevara v. NCL (Bahamas) - expert reports, negligence

Kroma Makeup v. Boldface Licensing - Lanham Act

US v. Cooks - search & seizure

Taylor v. Hughes - § 1983, immunity

US v. Moss - sentencing

Holzman v. Gerald & Assocs - FDCPA, FCCPA

#### Florida Supreme Court - Tallahassee

Wetzel v. Travelers - pro se sanctions

### First District Court of Appeal - Tallahassee

Marocco v. Brabec - sword & shield doctrine, § 713.29, fraudulent lien,

Lowry v. State - certiorari, involuntary commitment

Dorsey v. Robinson - MRTA, possession, intestate succession

Smith v. State - hearsay, child sex abuse, jail recording

McClash v. Long Bar Pointe - administrative review, appellate standing

Stamitoles v. State - second-tier certiorari, resisting officer

McLendon v. D'Amico - timesharing

Bishop v. State - probation revocation, ineffective assistance

Gordon v. State - sentencing

Kimmons v. State - probation revocation

Lee v. State - hearsay, 911 call, jail recordings

Deloach v. State - murder, evidence

Burns v. State - juvenile sentencing

Grimsley v. State - burden shifting to defense

Robinson v. State - murder solicitation, evidence

Rodgers v. State - competency

Certo v. Certo - foreclosure, standing

Dorsey v. Dorsey - marital dissolution

Terry v. State - manufacturing cannabis, evidence

Hester v. State - sentencing

DOH v. Redner - marijuana, cultivation, Fla Constitution

Kneer v. Lincare - workers' compensation, constitutionality, evidence

Randstad v. Barr - certiorari, expert medical advisor

#### Second District Court of Appeal - Lakeland

Lehmann v. Cocoanut Bayou - real property, conveyances

Tecklenburg v. Kouremetis - court records, confidentiality

Anderson v. Mitchell - certiorari, accident report privilege

Dooley v. State - Stand Your Ground, instructions

Wells Fargo v. Quest - foreclosure, documents, self-authentication

Buggs v. State - sentencing

Davis v. State - sentencing

Schofield v. Judd - habeas corpus

#### Third District Court of Appeal - Miami

Diaz v. State - probation revocation

Charlier v. State - murder, evidence

MC Trust v. Cohen de Mishaan - personal jurisdiction

Maercks v. Maercks - probate, appellate jurisdiction

Wilcox v. DOR - child support, missing transcript

Bazzichelli v. Deutsche Bank - rule 1.540

Sibley v. Sibley - trust, interpretation

Waldman v. Laquer Fam - foreclosure sale

Publicidad Vepaco v. Mezerhane - certiorari, competing counsel

## Fourth District Court of Appeal - West Palm Beach

Viladoine v. State - trial amendment

Gindel v. Centex Homes - tolling, certification

Morrill v. State - value, proof

OAG v. Nationwide Pools - due process, consent judgment

Kronen v. Deutsche Bank - foreclosure, standing

Tejada v. Forfeiture - 8th Amendment

SLV v. Toth - declaratory judgment, findings

JR v. State - comprehensive evaluation

Rowe-Lewis v. Lewis - evidentiary hearing, factual findings

Roland v. State - sanction

Hastie v. State - sentencing

Bynes v. State - sentencing

## Fifth District Court of Appeal - Daytona Beach

Phillips v. US Bank - foreclosure, attorney's fees

Fla Organic v. Advent Environmental - attorney's fees; jurisdiction, trial

Ward v. State - child abuse; defense witness, exclusion, sanction

Johnson v. Johnson - dissolution, child support, parenting plan

*Taylor v. State*- child pornography, double jeopardy

Paulette v. Rosella- child support, mediated settlement agreement

Corrigan v. Vargas - child support, mediated parenting plan, vacate

King v. State - Spencer bar

BBI v. Win-Development - Wheaton, offer of judgment, email

Weathers v. State - Spencer bar

DCF v. Rodriguez - certiorari, involuntary commitment

McWaters v. State - Spencer bar

#### **Related Practices**

Appellate & Trial Support

©2024 Carlton Fields, P.A. Carlton Fields practices law in California through Carlton Fields, LLP. Carlton Fields publications should not be construed as legal advice on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general information and educational purposes only, and should not be relied on as if it were advice about a particular fact situation. The distribution of this publication is not intended to create, and receipt of it does not constitute, an attorney-client relationship with Carlton Fields. This publication may not be quoted or referred to in any other publication or proceeding without the prior written consent of the firm, to be given or withheld at our discretion. To request reprint permission for any of our publications, please use our Contact Us form via the link below. The views set forth herein are the personal views of the author and do not necessarily reflect those of the firm. This site may contain hypertext links to information created and maintained by other entities. Carlton Fields does not control or guarantee the accuracy or completeness of this outside information, nor is the inclusion of a link to be intended as an endorsement of those outside sites.