

Florida Appeals Court Decisions: Week of September 2 - 6, 2019

September 07, 2019

U.S. Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals

USA v. Feldman - severance, experts, double jeopardy, sentencing

Knight v. Fla DOC - habeas corpus

Jenkins v. Ala DOC - habeas corpus

Florida Supreme Court - Tallahassee

Holt v. Keetley - jurisdiction discharged

Rogers v. State - capital case, direct appeal

First District Court of Appeal - Tallahassee

Gray v. ACHA - Medicaid lien, reduction

Crapo v. Academy - en banc denial, educational institutions, value adjustment board

Second District Court of Appeal - Lakeland

State v. Fay - burglary, prima facie case

Bolduc v. State - postconviction relief, self-defense instruction

Third District Court of Appeal - Miami

Silver v. State - *Williams* rule, hearsay by inescapable inference

Linares v. Bank of Am - foreclosure, rule 1.540

Surijon v. Zarría - premature final judgment

Alvarenga v. Milos Enters - *Applegate* affirmance, late expert

Padilla v. Padilla - fraud, fraud in inducement, forgery

Clarke v. Coca Cola - discovery, multiple depositions

Williams v. State - postconviction relief

Taufer v. Wells Fargo - foreclosure, appellate standing, rule 1.540

Allen v. State - sentencing

Abrams v. Const Life Ins- insurance, home health coverage

Hernandez v. State - sentencing, ineffective assistance

Williams v. State - sentencing; pro se sanctions

Blamey v. Menadier - certiorari; attorney disqualification

Oliver v. State - sentencing; pro se sanctions

Holmes v. State - habeas corpus; pro se sanctions

Fourth District Court of Appeal - West Palm Beach

No decisions this week.

Fifth District Court of Appeal - Daytona Beach

No decisions this week.

Related Practices

[Appellate & Trial Support](#)

©2024 Carlton Fields, P.A. Carlton Fields practices law in California through Carlton Fields, LLP. Carlton Fields publications should not be construed as legal advice on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general information and educational purposes only, and should not be relied on as if it were advice about a particular fact situation. The distribution of this publication is not intended to create, and receipt of it does not constitute, an attorney-client relationship with Carlton Fields. This publication may not be quoted or referred to in any other publication or proceeding without the prior written consent of the firm, to be given or withheld at our discretion. To request reprint permission for any of our publications, please use our Contact Us form via the link below. The views set forth herein are the personal views of the author and do not necessarily reflect those of the firm. This site may contain hypertext links to information created and maintained by other entities. Carlton Fields does not control or guarantee the accuracy or completeness of this outside information, nor is the inclusion of a link to be intended as an endorsement of those outside sites.

