

Florida Appeals Court Decisions: Week of March 16 - 20, 2020

March 20, 2020

U.S. Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals

US v. Cingari - sentencing

Spencer v. Specialty Foundry Prods - CAFA

Florida Supreme Court - Tallahassee

Boyd v. State - capital case, postconviction relief

In re Primary Elections - constitution, initiative, open primaries

Reed v. State - capital case, postconviction relief

In re Fla R Jud Admin - chief justice, emergency response

First District Court of Appeal - Tallahassee

No decisions this week.

Second District Court of Appeal - Lakeland

JMH v. State - juvenile sentencing

Cox v. State - postconviction relief

State v. Moran - juvenile sentencing

US Bank v. Mink - foreclosure, standing

Pittman v. State - postconviction relief, sentencing

Agosto v. State - criminal contempt

Alvarez v. State - probation revocation

Corey v. Neuffer - foreclosure sale, surplus funds

State v. Hall - certiorari, *Williams* rule evidence

Woolman v. State - evidence, collateral crimes; instructions, sexual battery

Clifford v. State - sentencing

Nelson v. State - Stand Your Ground

Wolf v. Wolf - contempt; timesharing

Manatee v. Mandarin Dev - comprehensive plan, constitutional challenge, limitations

Ford v. State - community control revocation

Booth v. Williams - timesharing, due process, unrequested relief

Lupo v. Lawson - real property, partition

Bailey v. State - probation revocation

Brundage v. Evans - certiorari, presuit requirements

Mallery v. Bush Auto - second-tier certiorari, towing, private action

Moore v. Holton - child support, income withhold

Third District Court of Appeal - Miami

Taylor v. FNMA - foreclosure, possession, tenant

Potter v. State - drug possession, prescription, instructions

JJ v. State - drug possession

Citizens v. Kings Creeks - named perils insurance, causation defense

Citizens v. Vazquez - insurance, actual cash value; declaratory relief, settled question

Zamanillo v. Citizens - insurance, coverage

Citizens v. Tio - insurance, replacement cost

Vazquez v. Citizens - summary judgment, supporting evidence

Royal Harbor v. Maresma - business judgment rule

Diaz v. Diaz - equitable distribution

Hatoum v. Citizens - summary judgment, supporting evidence

Muhammad v. AJX Mort - foreclosure, publication of notice

DR v. United Healthcare - Medicaid benefits, home health care

Piquet Realty v. Pinto - failure to prosecute

ER Truck v. Gomont - punitive damages amendment

Fourth District Court of Appeal - West Palm Beach

O'Donnell v. WF Taylor - causation; products liability

State v. Ervin - sentencing

Mathieu v. State - postconviction relief

Graves v. State - pro se; withdraw of plea

Boukzam v. Jugo - child support; payments; depository

Marconi v. Erturk - marital dissolution; equitable distribution

[*Ramirez v. Ramirez*](#) - martial dissolution; due process

Fifth District Court of Appeal - Daytona Beach

[*Nutriband v. Advanced Health*](#) - dismissal, prejudice

[*State v. Griffith*](#) - sentence, downward departure

[*Frazier v. State*](#) - *Richardson* hearing

[*Hollinger v. Hollinger*](#) - partial summary judgment, stock agreement

[*Kern v. State*](#) - probation, revocation

[*Sitaram v. Alley*](#) - appellate jurisdiction

[*Edkin v. Edkin*](#) - dissolution, custody

[*Booker v. State*](#) - *Spencer* warning, pro se

[*Diaz v. State*](#) - probation, revocation

[*Houston v. State*](#) - *Spencer* warning, pro se

[*Brown v. State*](#) - *Spencer* warning, pro se

[*Colon v. State*](#) - *Spencer* warning, pro se

Related Practices

[Appellate & Trial Support](#)

©2024 Carlton Fields, P.A. Carlton Fields practices law in California through Carlton Fields, LLP. Carlton Fields publications should not be construed as legal advice on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general information and educational purposes only, and should not be relied on as if it were advice about a particular fact situation. The distribution of this publication is not intended to create, and receipt of it does not constitute, an attorney-client relationship with Carlton Fields. This publication may not be quoted or referred to in any other publication or proceeding without the prior written consent of the firm, to be given or withheld at our discretion. To request reprint permission for any of our publications, please use our Contact Us form via the link below. The views set forth herein are the personal views of the author and do not necessarily reflect those of the firm. This site may contain hypertext links to information created and maintained by other entities. Carlton Fields does not control or guarantee the accuracy or completeness of this outside information, nor is the inclusion of a link to be intended as an endorsement of those outside sites.