

# Florida Appeals Court Decisions: Week of March 2 - 6, 2020

March 07, 2020

#### U.S. Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals

Sargeant v. Hall - costs post-dismissal

Woods v. Ala DOC - stay of execution

Woods v. Warden - successive habeas corpus

Woods v. Warden - substitute counsel

Auto Alignment & Body v. State Farm - jurisdiction, antitrust

#### Florida Supreme Court - Tallahassee

Anderson v. State - reckless driving as lesser included

Smith v. State - capital case, postconviction relief

In re Std Jury Instr - standard jury instructions, new procedures

### First District Court of Appeal - Tallahassee

Ray v. DOC - postconviction relief

Frederick v. FCOR - belated appeal, civil proceeding

Maddox v. DCF - Medicaid benefits, power of attorney

Hill v. State - sentencing, double jeopardy

State v. DMS - mootness

Casey v. DOC - mandamus, duty to rule

Lowry v. State - ineffective assistance

Santiago v. State - postconviction relief

Clark v. State - scrivener's error

Barber v. DOC - certiorari, post-conviction relief

#### Second District Court of Appeal - Lakeland

Heid v. FIGA - sinkhole claims, fees, certified question

Treadway v. State - sentencing, certified question

Heritage Found v. Estate of Schmid - probate, attorney's fees

Meyers v. Meyers - timesharing

Bryant v. State - sentencing

Christensen v. Christensen - MSA, contempt, fees

Donofrio v. State - oaths

Hock v. Triad Guar Ins - administrative dissolution, power to sue, en banc

Judy v. Judy - alimony

State v. Johns - sentencing

State v. JC - search and seizure

JP Morgan v. US Equity - rule 1.540, extrinsic fraud

#### Third District Court of Appeal - Miami

Lifshultz v. 20 Condo Ass'n - appellate jurisdiction

Law v. Law - MSA, interpretation

Beem v. Ferguson - Applegate affirmance, fees; prejudgment interest

Ottey v. Citizens - coverage, summary judgment

Pena v. Bi-Lo Holdings - spoliation, adverse inference, summary judgment

State Farm v. Ceda Health - certiorari, PIP benefits, judicial disqualification

Hotel Ass'n v. AirBnB - rule 9.100(d) petition, public records access

Concepcion v. State - habeas corpus

Zhou v. In re Chen - fees, inherent authority, notice

JG v. DCF - certiorari, custody

FCA v. DCF - certiorari, dependency

LC v. DCF - certiorari, dependency

Anchor P&C v. Yanes - certiorari, insurance, claim file discovery

### Fourth District Court of Appeal - West Palm Beach

Bentrim v. Bentrim - marital dissolution, attorney's fees

Safeco Ins v. Heikka - s. 57.105, attorney's fees

PDK v. McConnell - shareholder agreement; oral modification

Beseler v. Avatar Prop - fraud on the court, sanctions, dismissal

Socol v. Socol - stipulation, attorney's fees; parental responsibility

Boucher v. Warren - temporary injunction, domestic violence

Ramsey v. State - competency hearing

Delorme v. State - sentencing

Howard v. Providence Cap - Rule 5-1.1, trust funds, injunctive relief Ming v. NS FOA - judicial disqualification Schwartz v. Bank of Am - foreclosure, law of the case, motion to vacate

## Fifth District Court of Appeal - Daytona Beach

Richards v. State - remand, investigative costs

Smith v. State - sexual battery, interview, unfair prejudice

JN v. DCF - Medicaid assistance, substantial evidence

Register v. State - Anders appeal, corrected written order

Carter v. Bromberg - plenary guardian; due process, notice

CN v. IGC - certified conflict; timesharing modification, substantial evidence

State Farm v. Thompson - alternative dispute resolution, disinterested appraiser

Shoulders v. State - murder, sentencing, concession of error

Lewis v. State - Spencer warning, pro se

Terry v. State - Spencer warning, pro se

#### **Related Practices**

Appellate & Trial Support

©2024 Carlton Fields, P.A. Carlton Fields practices law in California through Carlton Fields, LLP. Carlton Fields publications should not be construed as legal advice on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general information and educational purposes only, and should not be relied on as if it were advice about a particular fact situation. The distribution of this publication is not intended to create, and receipt of it does not constitute, an attorney-client relationship with Carlton Fields. This publication may not be quoted or referred to in any other publication or proceeding without the prior written consent of the firm, to be given or withheld at our discretion. To request reprint permission for any of our publications, please use our Contact Us form via the link below. The views set forth herein are the personal views of the author and do not necessarily reflect those of the firm. This site may contain hypertext links to information created and maintained by other entities. Carlton Fields does not control or guarantee the accuracy or completeness of this outside information, nor is the inclusion of a link to be intended as an endorsement of those outside sites.