

Real Property, Financial Services, & Title Insurance Update: Week Ending July 5, 2019

July 05, 2019

Real Property Update

- Landlord-Tenant: rider to a lease, containing clear and unambiguous language, controlled over the terms in the lease and limited the increase in the operating expenses charged to the tenant by the fixed amount of 3 percent Dezer Intracoastal Mall, LLC v. Seahorse Grill, LLC, No. 3D18-88 (Fla. 3d DCA July 3, 2019) (affirmed).
- Foreclosure / Reverse Mortgage: lender cannot foreclose under a reverse mortgage until both coborrowers have passed away even if the surviving spouse only signed the mortgage, but not the promissory note for the loan - Davis v. OneWest Bank, FSB, No. 3D18-493 (Fla. 3d DCA July 3, 2019) (reversed and remanded).
- Foreclosure: case involuntarily dismissed where lender based its case at trial on the subject note and a modification, yet the operative complaint neither mentioned nor attached the modification Morales v. Fifth Third Bank, No. 4D18-3145 (Fla. 4th DCA July 3, 2019) (reversed and remanded).

Financial Services Update

- RESPA / 1024.41(g) / Modifying Foreclosure Sale Date: motion to reset foreclosure sale date after loan modification is not a violation of RESPA Landau v. Roundpoint Mortg. Servicing Corp., No. 17-11151 (11th Cir. June 11, 2019) (affirming entry of order dismissing claims with prejudice).
- TILA / Statute of Limitations: TILA statute of limitations for the recovery of monetary damages is one year from the date the transaction was consummated Dixon v. Green Tree Servicing, LLC, No. 9:19-cv-80022 (S.D. Fla. July 3, 2019).

• FDCPA / 1692(d)(5) / Statute of Limitations: applying the "continuing violation" doctrine to an FDCPA claim - Muzyka v. Rash Curtis & Assocs., No. 2:18-cv-01097 (E.D. Cal. July 3, 2019) ("If there is a pattern of allegedly unlawful conduct, a suit is timely filed if it is brought 'within one year of the most recent date on which the defendant is alleged to have violated the FDCPA.'") (quoting Padilla v. Payco Gen. Am. Credits, Inc., 161 F. Supp. 2d 264, 273 (S.D.N.Y. 2001)).

Title Insurance Update

- Title Insurance / Corporate Veil: plaintiff not permitted to take evidence deposition of title agency's president to use at trial as plaintiff failed to disclose her as a witness, failed to show that her testimony was critical to plaintiff's case, and permitting the deposition would have been prejudicial to defendant; title agency's vice president not personally liable where he did not actively participate in, or have sufficient knowledge of, plaintiff's transaction, and plaintiff failed to show his actions pierced the corporate veil Lopez v. Shaykin, No. 1-18-1044 (III. App. Ct. June 25, 2019) (affirmed)
- Equitable Subrogation: plaintiff was not entitled to equitable subrogation where doing so would have an unjust result on the owner of property that did not know of, or agree to, plaintiff's payoff to lender and plaintiff voluntarily paid off the mortgage Paliatka v. RJEM, LLC, No. 1-18-2436 (III. App. Ct. June 28, 2019) (affirming motion to dismiss)
- Equitable Subrogation: assignee not entitled to foreclose on mortgaged property under doctrine of equitable subrogation where the property was transferred to a trust before purported mortgagor executed a reverse mortgage, a quitclaim deed reflecting purported mortgagor's life estate interest in the property was validly recorded, and assignee's predecessor had actual notice that the mortgage only had a life estate interest in the property Nationstar Mortg. LLC v. Adee, No. 527518 (N.Y. App. Div. May 16, 2019) (affirmed)

Related Practices

Real Property Litigation Consumer Finance Title Insurance

©2024 Carlton Fields, P.A. Carlton Fields practices law in California through Carlton Fields, LLP. Carlton Fields publications should not be construed as legal advice on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general information and educational purposes only, and should not be relied on as if it were advice about a particular fact situation. The distribution of this publication is not intended to create, and receipt of it does not constitute, an attorney-client relationship with Carlton Fields. This publication may not be quoted or referred to in any other publication or proceeding without the prior written consent of the firm, to be given or withheld at our discretion. To request reprint permission for any of our publications, please use our Contact Us form via the link below. The views set forth herein are the personal views of the author and do not necessarily reflect those of the firm. This site

may contain hypertext links to information created and maintained by other entities. Carlton Fields does not control or guarantee the accuracy or completeness of this outside information, nor is the inclusion of a link to be intended as an endorsement of those outside sites.