

Financial Services & Title Insurance Update: Week Ending October 11, 2019

October 11, 2019

Financial Services Update

• **Title Insurance Coverage:** where an accurate survey would have shown that seller had abandoned access and parking easement, which had been built upon by a neighbor, title insurer had no duty to defend buyer in quiet title action between buyer and neighbor - Haley v. Hume, No. 77769-6-1 (Wash. Ct. App., Sept. 9, 2019) (affirming summary judgment)

Title Insurance Update

- **Student Loans:** lender was not barred from suing to recover student loan debt against borrower who had received Chapter 7 discharge by res judicata where lender had made no claim and sought no relief in bankruptcy proceedings and thus bankruptcy court was not presented with a question to decide regarding the subject student loan Nat'l Collegiate Student Loan Tr. 2007-3 v. De Leon, No. 3D18-1494 (Fla. 3d DCA Oct. 10, 2019) (reversing entry of summary judgment for borrower and remanding)
- FDCPA / Loan Statements / Debt Collection Activity: loan statements did not constitute debt collection activity because they were garden-variety TILA loan statements Piester v. Franklin Am. Mortg. Co., No. 9:19-cv-80651 (S.D. Fla. Oct. 4, 2019) (dismissing with prejudice borrowers' FDCPA claims)
- FDCPA: debt collector violated the FDCPA when it sent collection notices for medical bills to
 individual who suffered workplace injury and was not liable for debt because his injuries were
 covered by workers' compensation insurance Malone v. Accounts Receivable Res., Inc., No. 9:18cv-81254 (S.D. Fla. Oct. 6, 2019) (granting plaintiff's motion for summary judgment and denying
 defendant's motion for summary judgment)

- RESPA / Res Judicata: borrowers' claims in a federal lawsuit for violations of consumer protection laws, misrepresentation, predatory mortgage servicing, and predatory lending were barred by res judicata because they arose out of foreclosure action in which all of the claims could have been raised - Bell v. Deutsche Bank, No. 2:18-cv-01593 (E.D.N.Y. Sept. 30, 2019) (dismissing pro se complaint with leave to amend)
- **FDCPA:** consumer adequately alleged that the defendant debt collection company violated the FDCPA by failing to disclose identity as a debt collector in messages, continuing to call consumer after being informed she was represented by counsel, and communicating about her debt with a third party without consent. Individual defendant who is the managing member and operator of the company would also be held liable as a debt collector because he was personally involved in the collection of a debt Miller v. Hartfield Portfolio Grp. LLC, No. 1:14-cv-1060 (W.D.N.Y. Oct. 7, 2019) (granting motion for default judgment)

Related Practices

Consumer Finance
Title Insurance

©2024 Carlton Fields, P.A. Carlton Fields practices law in California through Carlton Fields, LLP. Carlton Fields publications should not be construed as legal advice on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general information and educational purposes only, and should not be relied on as if it were advice about a particular fact situation. The distribution of this publication is not intended to create, and receipt of it does not constitute, an attorney-client relationship with Carlton Fields. This publication may not be quoted or referred to in any other publication or proceeding without the prior written consent of the firm, to be given or withheld at our discretion. To request reprint permission for any of our publications, please use our Contact Us form via the link below. The views set forth herein are the personal views of the author and do not necessarily reflect those of the firm. This site may contain hypertext links to information created and maintained by other entities. Carlton Fields does not control or guarantee the accuracy or completeness of this outside information, nor is the inclusion of a link to be intended as an endorsement of those outside sites