

# Real Property, Financial Services, & Title Insurance Update: Week Ending September 20, 2019

September 20, 2019

## Real Property Update

- **Foreclosure / Doc Stamps:** failure to pay documentary stamp and intangible taxes on increased principal balance under loan modification rendered final foreclosure judgment unenforceable - [Schroeder v. MTGLQ Inv'rs, LP](#), No. 4D18-3177 (Fla. 4th DCA Sept. 18, 2019) (reversing final foreclosure judgment)

## Financial Services Update

- **TCPA / Use of Prerecorded Voice:** call logs reflecting entries with the notation "virtual msg to AUTOV" helped create genuine issue of material fact as to whether the defendant violated the TCPA by using prerecorded messages - [Johnson v. Capital One Servs., LLC](#), No. 0:18-cv-62058 (S.D. Fla. Sept. 19, 2019) (denying summary judgment in part)
- **TCPA / Dual Purpose Calls:** genuine issue of material fact existed as to whether calls placed to customer of shipping services were dual-purpose calls advertising or soliciting return shipping services, based on repetition of the deadline in messages as well as evidence trace agents encouraged called parties to purchase return shipping services - [Abdallah v. FedEx Corp. Servs., Inc.](#), No. 1:16-cv-03967 (N.D. Ill. Sept. 18, 2019) (denying summary judgment in part)
- **FDCPA / 1692e(8) / Reporting Disputed Debt to CRA Without Disclosing Dispute:** fax confirmations did not prove that debt collector was on notice that debts were disputed and thus violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(8), because they did not support that anyone at the business actually viewed the faxes or used that particular machine - [Irvin v. Nationwide Credit & Collection, Inc.](#), No. 1:18-cv-02945 (N.D. Ill. Sept. 17, 2019) (granting summary judgment)

# Title Insurance Update

- **Subrogation:** title insurer's indemnification and unjust enrichment claims against owners who knowingly allowed a different mortgage to be paid off, rather than the one they were supposed to be refinancing, could not be attacked by special defenses of laches, unclean hands, or third-party beneficiary contract - [Fidelity Nat'l Title Ins. Co. v. Vontell](#), No. CV-17 6024608 (Conn. Super. Ct. Aug. 29, 2019) (granting motion to strike defenses)

## Related Practices

[Real Property Litigation](#)

[Consumer Finance](#)

[Title Insurance](#)

©2024 Carlton Fields, P.A. Carlton Fields practices law in California through Carlton Fields, LLP. Carlton Fields publications should not be construed as legal advice on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general information and educational purposes only, and should not be relied on as if it were advice about a particular fact situation. The distribution of this publication is not intended to create, and receipt of it does not constitute, an attorney-client relationship with Carlton Fields. This publication may not be quoted or referred to in any other publication or proceeding without the prior written consent of the firm, to be given or withheld at our discretion. To request reprint permission for any of our publications, please use our Contact Us form via the link below. The views set forth herein are the personal views of the author and do not necessarily reflect those of the firm. This site may contain hypertext links to information created and maintained by other entities. Carlton Fields does not control or guarantee the accuracy or completeness of this outside information, nor is the inclusion of a link to be intended as an endorsement of those outside sites.