Real Property, Financial Services, & Title Insurance Update: Week Ending April 10, 2020

April 10, 2020

ARI TON

Real Property Update

- Foreclosure / Dismissal: Involuntary dismissal of foreclosure action for alleging default on same date alleged in a prior dismissed foreclosure action was improper where allegation included reference to continuing defaults - HMC Assets LLC v. Williams, No. 3D18-160 (Fla. 3d DCA Apr. 8, 2020) (reversed)
- Foreclosure / Unclean Hands: Mortgage lender was not a party to borrowers' divorce action and had no legal obligation to remove spouse from the note and mortgage, as contemplated in the divorce decree MTGLQ Investors, L.P. v. Moore, No. 1D18-4146 (Fla. 1st DCA Apr. 9, 2020)
- Foreclosure / Leave to Amend: Denial of borrower's motion for leave to amend counterclaim prior to ruling on summary judgment was within the court's discretion where there were five years of pretrial motions and borrower made three separate and unsuccessful attempts to file a legally sufficient counterclaim - Moskovits v MTGLQ Investors, LP, No. 3D19-1779 (Fla. 3d DCA Apr. 8, 2020) (affirmed)

Financial Services Update

- FDCPA / Debt Collector: Failure to allege that debt was in default when acquired provides grounds for dismissal Mekhail v. Galencare, Inc., No. 8:20-cv-00565 (M.D. Fla. Apr. 3, 2020) (granting dismissal motion)
- FDCPA / Debt Validation: Inclusion of the words "by the creditor" in the validation notice did not constitute a violation under the FDCPA Lorenzo v. Durham & Durham, LLP, No. 2:20-cv-00109 (M.D. Fla. Apr. 6, 2020) (granting dismissal motion)

 FDCPA / Improper Disclosure: Consent to call number provided by debtor to creditor did not extend to third-party servicer - Russo v. POM Recoveries, Inc., No. 2:18-cv-05472 (E.D.N.Y. Apr. 8, 2020) (denying defendant's summary judgment motion)

Title Insurance Update

Insured: Title insurance coverage is expressly and clearly limited to the insured; thus, a manager of the insured borrower is not a third-party beneficiary of the policy and is not entitled to coverage, even if he also happens to be a guarantor of the loan who has a "huge financial stake" in the deal - Mazel v. Las Cruces Abstract & Title Co., No. 1:18-ap-01057 (Bankr. N.M. Apr. 3, 2020) (opinion granting summary judgment)

Related Practices

Consumer Finance Real Property Litigation Title Insurance

©2024 Carlton Fields, P.A. Carlton Fields practices law in California through Carlton Fields, LLP. Carlton Fields publications should not be construed as legal advice on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general information and educational purposes only, and should not be relied on as if it were advice about a particular fact situation. The distribution of this publication is not intended to create, and receipt of it does not constitute, an attorney-client relationship with Carlton Fields. This publication may not be quoted or referred to in any other publication or proceeding without the prior written consent of the firm, to be given or withheld at our discretion. To request reprint permission for any of our publications, please use our Contact Us form via the link below. The views set forth herein are the personal views of the author and do not necessarily reflect those of the firm. This site may contain hypertext links to information created and maintained by other entities. Carlton Fields does not control or guarantee the accuracy or completeness of this outside information, nor is the inclusion of a link to be intended as an endorsement of those outside sites.