

Fed Takes First Steps Toward Setting Capital Requirements for Some Insurers

July 26, 2016

On June 3, the Federal Reserve Board (the "Fed") released an advance notice of proposed rulemaking (ANPR) and began soliciting comments for the conceptual framework for capital standards that it will use when overseeing the two types of insurers for which it has supervisory authority. Under the 2010 Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, the Fed was given regulatory authority over insurers that own federally insured banks or thrift institutions and those the Financial Stability Oversight Council (FSOC) designates as systemically important insurers (SIIIs). The ANPR closely follows the outline of the Fed's intentions regarding the financial regulation of such insurers. These intentions were first articulated in a May 20 speech at the International Insurance Forum by Daniel Tarullo, a member of the Fed's Board of Governors. The Fed intends to use different capital requirement approaches for the two types of insurers. For insurers that own banks or thrift institutions, the Fed would use a "building blocks approach" to determine required capital. Under this approach, insurers would in most instances be able to use the regulatory capital rules that the relevant regulator already applies to each affiliate. In an insurer's case, the capital requirements would be those of the state insurance regulator or, in a non-U.S. insurer's case, the non-U.S. insurance regulator. Each group's aggregate capital would generally be the sum of the individual capital requirements for each member. To determine required capital standards for insurers designated as SIIIs—Prudential Financial, Inc. and American International Group are currently the only insurers designated as SIIIs—the Fed would use a "consolidated approach" based on generally accepted accounting principles but modified due to the difference in accounting standards under which insurers operate. For SIIIs, the consolidated approach would categorize an entire insurance firm's assets and insurance liabilities into risk segments, apply appropriate risk factors to each segment at the consolidated level, and set a minimum ratio of required capital. The regulatory capital required of SIIIs and bank holding companies would appear to be similar. Though, as Tarullo said in his speech "the CA [consolidated approach] would use risk weights or risk factors that are more appropriate for the longer-term nature of most insurance liabilities."

Authored By



Robert B. Shapiro

Related Practices

[Financial Services Regulatory](#)

Related Industries

[Life, Annuity, and Retirement Solutions](#)

©2024 Carlton Fields, P.A. Carlton Fields practices law in California through Carlton Fields, LLP. Carlton Fields publications should not be construed as legal advice on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general information and educational purposes only, and should not be relied on as if it were advice about a particular fact situation. The distribution of this publication is not intended to create, and receipt of it does not constitute, an attorney-client relationship with Carlton Fields. This publication may not be quoted or referred to in any other publication or proceeding without the prior written consent of the firm, to be given or withheld at our discretion. To request reprint permission for any of our publications, please use our Contact Us form via the link below. The views set forth herein are the personal views of the author and do not necessarily reflect those of the firm. This site may contain hypertext links to information created and maintained by other entities. Carlton Fields does not control or guarantee the accuracy or completeness of this outside information, nor is the inclusion of a link to be intended as an endorsement of those outside sites.