

# FOIA Competitive Injury Requirement Falls

October 04, 2019

The Supreme Court's June decision in *Food Marketing Institute v. Argus Leader Media* has made it easier for federal entities to resist certain Freedom of Information Act requests for confidential business information that the government has obtained from private parties.

Under lower federal court interpretations dating back to the 1970s, one of the exemptions that the government has most frequently relied upon to deny such requests — “Exemption 4” — was under some circumstances available only if disclosure of the information would cause substantial “harm to the competitive position” of the party who provided the information to the government. Therefore, companies that have sought, for example, to prevent the SEC from disclosing their confidential information often have argued that such disclosure would cause the competitive harm, and companies filing FOIA requests for such information have asserted the absence of such harm.

Justice Gorsuch, writing for the Court, concluded that in creating the competitive harm standard, the lower courts had relied inappropriately on what they considered to be the Act's legislative history and had given too little consideration to the Act's actual language. The *Food Marketing Institute* decision is important both to companies seeking to obtain information from governmental entities and to those seeking to prevent the government from disclosing information. The decision changes the arguments that are potentially available to such companies, and in some cases may affect the governmental entity's determination whether the information in question should be disclosed.

Nevertheless, although *Food Marketing Institute* makes it easier for a governmental entity to withhold confidential business information when no competitive harm is shown, neither the Court's opinion nor the FOIA mandates that a governmental authority exercise this additional flexibility. Indeed, the SEC, for example, has a strong institutional mandate favoring disclosure of information about registrants that may be material, and it will be interesting to see how, if at all, the SEC now modifies its positions concerning FOIA requests and confidential treatment of information it receives from third parties.

## Authored By

---



Thomas C. Lauerman

## Related Practices

[Financial Services Regulatory](#)  
[Securities Transactions and Compliance](#)

## Related Industries

[Life, Annuity, and Retirement Solutions](#)

©2024 Carlton Fields, P.A. Carlton Fields practices law in California through Carlton Fields, LLP. Carlton Fields publications should not be construed as legal advice on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general information and educational purposes only, and should not be relied on as if it were advice about a particular fact situation. The distribution of this publication is not intended to create, and receipt of it does not constitute, an attorney-client relationship with Carlton Fields. This publication may not be quoted or referred to in any other publication or proceeding without the prior written consent of the firm, to be given or withheld at our discretion. To request reprint permission for any of our publications, please use our Contact Us form via the link below. The views set forth herein are the personal views of the author and do not necessarily reflect those of the firm. This site may contain hypertext links to information created and maintained by other entities. Carlton Fields does not control or guarantee the accuracy or completeness of this outside information, nor is the inclusion of a link to be intended as an endorsement of those outside sites.