

ASB Airs Summer Rerun: Seeks Round 2 of ASOP 2 Comments

September 03, 2020

When last we left the Actuarial Standards Board (ASB), the board was considering comments on the first exposure draft of proposed changes to Actuarial Standard of Practice No. 2 (ASOP 2), now titled “Nonguaranteed Elements for Life Insurance and Annuity Products.” See [“Proposed Revisions to ASOP 2 May Impact Your Product Pricing and Litigation Exposure,”](#) Expect Focus – Life, Annuity, and Retirement Solutions (June 2019); [“Mostly Tricks Proposed for ASOP 2,”](#) Expect Focus – Life, Annuity, and Retirement Solutions (October 2019). The ASB is now back with a second ASOP 2 exposure draft that seeks to address many of the issues raised in the 16 comments received from various insurers, individuals, and industry groups.

Given the recent attention to nonguaranteed elements (NGEs) from both litigants and regulators (see N.Y. Reg. 210), the ASB is seeking to modernize ASOP 2 to “reflect current practices and provide additional guidance on the determination of NGEs.” Many comments received on the ASB’s first go-around at revising ASOP 2 focused on sections 3.2 and 3.4 — “Issues and Considerations When Providing Advice on the Actuarial Aspects of the Determination Policy” and “Determination Process for NGE Scales,” respectively. Several of the comments argued that the proposed revisions amounted to overly prescriptive restrictions on actuaries’ discretion.

The ASB appears to have taken the issues to heart, as the new exposure draft makes several changes to those sections. The proposed changes to sections 3.2 and 3.4 appear aimed at providing more discretion to actuaries in their NGE determinations, including expanding factors actuaries can consider. To that end, the ASB added a new section 3.4.2.5 “to allow the actuary to take into account anticipated experience factors that were not part of the previous determination of NGE scale.” That new section reads:

Additional Considerations — When recommending or determining a revision to **NGE scales**, the actuary may consider using additional **anticipated experience factors** that were not part of the previous determination of **NGE scales**.

The ASB also added language to several sections, including sections 3.2.1 and 3.3.1, “to allow the actuary to consider other relevant items or additional factors” and made other changes in language to expand the discretion that commenters argued was lacking in the previous draft. At the same time, the ASB appears to have expanded actuaries’ documentation obligations in several places, including in sections 3.2, 3.4, and 4.1, which address development and modification of determination policies, development of NGE scales, and disclosures in actuarial reports.

November 13, 2020, is the deadline for comments on the ASB’s new ASOP 2 exposure draft. If past is prologue, there will be numerous comments for the ASB to consider. Thus, it is unlikely that a new ASOP 2 will be in place before the second quarter of 2021, and likely later than that.

Authored By



Clifton R. Gruhn

Related Practices

[Financial Services Regulatory](#)

Related Industries

[Life, Annuity, and Retirement Solutions](#)

[Securities & Investment Companies](#)

©2024 Carlton Fields, P.A. Carlton Fields practices law in California through Carlton Fields, LLP. Carlton Fields publications should not be construed as legal advice on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general information and educational purposes only, and should not be relied on as if it were advice about a particular fact situation. The distribution of this publication is not intended to create, and receipt of it does not constitute, an attorney-client relationship with Carlton Fields. This publication may not be quoted or referred to in any other publication or proceeding without the prior written consent of the firm, to be given or withheld at our discretion. To request reprint permission for any of our publications, please use our Contact Us form via the link below. The views set forth herein are the personal views of the author and do not necessarily reflect those of the firm. This site may contain hypertext links to information created and maintained by other entities. Carlton Fields does not control or guarantee the accuracy or completeness of this outside information, nor is the inclusion of a link to be intended as an endorsement of those outside sites.

