

# Eleventh Circuit Decisions May Chill Future Data Breach Class Actions

December 15, 2020

The holidays came early for class action defendants in the Eleventh Circuit. Within just over a month, that court issued two decisions with potentially large consequences for data breach litigation in the Eleventh Circuit: *Johnson v. NPAS Solutions, LLC* and *Muransky v. Godiva Chocolatier, Inc.*

With *Johnson*, the Eleventh Circuit effectively forbade service awards to named plaintiffs in class action lawsuits, finding such payments contrary to two separate Supreme Court decisions. These service awards, typically between \$1,000 and \$7,500 per named plaintiff, were an incentive for named plaintiffs to bring suit on behalf of a class, and without them, plaintiffs' firms may have more trouble finding willing class representatives.

In *Muransky*, the Eleventh Circuit weighed heavily in opposition to finding standing in class actions based solely on the occurrence of a data breach. Although the decision was made in a Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act case, its language and reasoning extends deeply into the concept of Article III standing in data breach class actions. As explained in *Muransky*, allegations of a mere "elevated risk of identity theft" is insufficient for standing purposes. Plaintiffs hoping to survive motions to dismiss must show actual identity theft or other concrete harm, and cannot simply rely on the occurrence of a breach and prophylactic measures to establish their ability to sue in federal court.

Together, the decisions serve as a onetwo punch to data breach plaintiffs in the Eleventh Circuit. These decisions, a likely reflection of an increasingly skeptical court when it comes to data breach cases, could be only the beginning. We will continue to monitor this activity and evaluate what it means for future data breach class actions.

## Authored By



Patricia M. Carreiro

## Related Practices

[Life, Annuity, and Retirement Litigation](#)  
[Cybersecurity and Privacy](#)

## Related Industries

[Life, Annuity, and Retirement Solutions](#)

©2024 Carlton Fields, P.A. Carlton Fields practices law in California through Carlton Fields, LLP. Carlton Fields publications should not be construed as legal advice on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general information and educational purposes only, and should not be relied on as if it were advice about a particular fact situation. The distribution of this publication is not intended to create, and receipt of it does not constitute, an attorney-client relationship with Carlton Fields. This publication may not be quoted or referred to in any other publication or proceeding without the prior written consent of the firm, to be given or withheld at our discretion. To request reprint permission for any of our publications, please use our Contact Us form via the link below. The views set forth herein are the personal views of the author and do not necessarily reflect those of the firm. This site may contain hypertext links to information created and maintained by other entities. Carlton Fields does not control or guarantee the accuracy or completeness of this outside information, nor is the inclusion of a link to be intended as an endorsement of those outside sites.