

# Food for Thought: Motion to Dismiss Denied in False “GMO” Advertising Suit Against Chipotle

April 20, 2016

A Florida federal judge declined to dismiss a proposed class action against Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc. accusing the company of deceptively advertising that its foods contain non-GMO ingredients. The plaintiff alleged, in short, that Chipotle sources its meat and dairy products from animals raised on GMO-rich feed, hence the company’s food products are not GMO-free as advertised. Chipotle argued in its motion to dismiss that the plaintiff failed to establish standing because she never identified which product(s) she purchased and never alleged a threat of future harm. Chipotle further argued that no reasonable consumer would assume that an advertisement claiming “no GMO ingredients” means that the animals sourced for the food only consume non-GMO feed. The district judge found that, unlike in *Gallagher v. Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc.*, No. 15-cv-03592-HSG (N.D. Cal. Feb. 5, 2016), the plaintiff in this case sufficiently alleged that she paid premium prices for Chipotle food, meat and dairy products, which she believed were GMO-free but which contained GMOs; therefore, the plaintiff satisfied Article III’s standing requirements, showed that her claim was facially plausible (at this stage in the proceedings) based on her definition of “non-GMO ingredients,” and alleged sufficient grounds to state a claim for unjust enrichment against Chipotle. The judge agreed, however, that the plaintiff failed to demonstrate that Chipotle’s continued GMO-free advertising would cause her irreparable harm, because there were no allegations in her complaint that she planned to continue purchasing Chipotle’s products; therefore, the judge dismissed her count seeking injunctive relief but granted her leave to amend. This case is set for trial in November 2016. Read the update [here](#).

## Related Practices

[Mass Tort and Product Liability](#)

©2024 Carlton Fields, P.A. Carlton Fields practices law in California through Carlton Fields, LLP. Carlton Fields publications should not be construed as legal advice on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general information and educational purposes only, and should not be relied on as if it were advice about a particular fact situation. The distribution of this publication is not intended to create, and receipt of it does not constitute, an attorney-client relationship with Carlton Fields. This publication may not be quoted or referred to in any other publication or proceeding without the prior written consent of the firm, to be given or withheld at our discretion. To request reprint permission for any of our publications, please use our Contact Us form via the link below. The views set forth herein are the personal views of the author and do not necessarily reflect those of the firm. This site may contain hypertext links to information created and maintained by other entities. Carlton Fields does not control or guarantee the accuracy or completeness of this outside information, nor is the inclusion of a link to be intended as an endorsement of those outside sites.