

Antitrust and Trade Regulation

Overview

Carlton Fields' global antitrust group represents businesses and individuals in civil and criminal antitrust matters in courts, administrative agencies, and other proceedings and investigations around the world. Our team includes four board certified antitrust lawyers, a leading white collar crime and investigations practitioner, and several former *Fortune* 1000 company senior executives. We take innovative approaches to bet-the-company antitrust matters and have obtained landmark decisions that helped shape the law. Our practice covers the full range of substantive antitrust and competition law issues, including defense of civil litigation and government investigations, criminal grand jury investigations and trials, merger and acquisition representation, and counseling and compliance program administration.

For example, we:

- Represented a pharmaceutical company in an antitrust tying case that involved process patent rights and a branded drug.
- Represented a manufacturer of chemical products in a nationwide price-fixing class action and obtained a decision denying class certification.
- Represented a major auto insurer against tying and restraint of trade claims brought on behalf of a national class of 70 million policyholders who claimed they were overcharged for aftermarket crash parts.
- Represented a manufacturer of fax paper in a state court indirect purchaser action and defeated class certification in first decision of its kind in Florida.
- Helped a national franchiser reorganize its supply chain and institute creative distribution and marketing programs.
- Defended a large health care conglomerate in commercial litigation alleging monopolization, group boycott, exclusive dealing, and merger-challenge claims brought by an alleged competitor.
- Represented an international appliance manufacturer in its acquisition of a competing vacuum business, including premerger notification compliance under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Act; defended multiple challenges by, and obtained approval from, federal and state enforcement authorities.

- Defended an international photographic media manufacturer in a California indirect purchaser antitrust class action alleging conspiracy to exclude a competitor and price-fixing.
- Counsel clients on various other nonpublic antitrust and competition law issues, including defense and counseling on mergers, acquisitions, joint ventures, business structure and distribution agreements (such as resale price maintenance and similar issues), antitrust compliance program design and implementation, and government investigations.

We are also one of a handful of law firms worldwide that has an antitrust subgroup dedicated exclusively to pursuing antitrust recovery opportunities for corporate clients. Our cartel recovery group has revolutionized the concept of affirmative recovery, often achieving, through negotiation, arbitration, and litigation, recoveries that are many multiples greater than those available through the class mechanism. We have recovered hundreds of millions of dollars for corporate clients in price-fixing lawsuits and pre-lawsuit settlements (focusing on both monetary and business-solution settlements), and we are at the forefront of many of the burgeoning legal issues in the antitrust world.

For example:

- We represented several computer manufacturers and mobile phone companies in actions to recover damages from an international price-fixing cartel in the flat-panel liquid-crystal display (LCD) market. We obtained several precedent-setting orders in that case (favorable to our clients) that helped redefine the scope of the Foreign Trade Antitrust Improvement Act. *In re TFT-LCD (Flat Panel) Antitrust Litigation*, No. 3:07-md-01827 (N.D. Cal. San Francisco Division). One of our attorneys was appointed by the federal judge overseeing that case to serve as “liaison counsel” for dozens of “direct action plaintiffs,” giving us a leadership role in coordinating discovery, motion practice, and communications with the court among the hundreds of interested parties in that case.
- We represented several power tool companies, health care product manufacturers, computer manufacturers, and telecommunications carriers and equipment manufacturers in opt-out multidistrict commercial litigation to recover damages from an international price-fixing cartel in the lithium-ion battery market. *In re Lithium Ion Battery Antitrust Litigation*, No. 4:13-md-02420 (N.D. Cal. Oakland Division).
- We represented several large technology companies in opt-out multidistrict commercial litigation to recover damages from an antitrust price-fixing cartel in the optical disk drive market (*In re Optical Disk Drive Antitrust Litigation*, No. 3:10-md-02143 (N.D. Cal. San Francisco Division)), and various related appellate issues in the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals (*In re Dell Inc. v. John Doe 1*, 801 F.3d 1072 (9th Cir. 2015) (affirming order in clients’ favor requiring production of FBI tapes and establishing Ninth Circuit standard under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 6(e)).

We have also represented *Fortune* 1000 clients in lawsuits and pre-lawsuit negotiations to recover damages from international price-fixing cartels, monopolization claims, group boycotts, and matters related to other anti-competitive conduct in the:

- Mobile handsets market
- Pharmaceuticals market
- Beer, wine, and spirits industries
- Health care market
- Capacitor, resistor, and inductor markets
- Grocery and food distribution industry
- Payment card industry
- Restaurant industry

In addition, we have represented clients in many other nonpublic matters. We routinely handle these recovery matters using creative alternative fee arrangements such as contingency, hybrid, flat fee, and hold-back bonuses, which are tailored to provide budget certainty, risk-sharing, and minimal financial outlay for our clients.

Insights

03.11.2024

Digital Collusion or Warp-Speed Competition Evaluating the Agreement Element in the Algorithmic Pricing Antitrust Cases

12.20.2023

New Merger Guidelines Cap Off a Year of Hostility to Employers — 2023 Closes With Antitrust Agencies' Most Radical Foray Yet

10.12.2023

Chevron on the Brink — the Supreme Court Could Revolutionize Administrative Law This Term (But Shouldn't)

10.05.2022

Sellers Beware: Price Gouging After Hurricane Ian

09.28.2020

Justice Ginsburg and the Taming of Antitrust's Most Notorious Branch

05.15.2020

When Referrals Are Felonies: Health Care Providers Should Review Their Referral Practices to Avoid the DOJ's Wrath

03.26.2020

Price Gouging During an Emergency: Coronavirus Edition

03.12.2020

COVID-19 Prompts Florida Price Gouging Statute Affecting the Sale of Commodities

01.17.2020

The Latest "Hipster Antitrust" Battleground – Vertical Merger Enforcement

09.11.2019

New York AG Leads Antitrust Investigation Into Social Media Platform

08.26.2019

Say Cheese: With the "Right to Repair" Debate Simmering, the Supreme Court's Aging Kodak Decision Is Ready for Its Close-Up

08.02.2019

DOJ Provides Even More Reason to Enhance (Or Create) Corporate Antitrust Compliance Programs

05.18.2019

Supreme Court Upholds Ninth Circuit Decision: Antitrust Action Against Apple May Proceed

03.22.2019

Brokers Beware, Next Antitrust Liability Target: Is Your Brokerage at Risk?

04.23.2018

More Product Liability and Antitrust Class Actions, Rising Class Action Spending Among Trends in Latest Class Action Survey

03.21.2017

Trial Graphics and Your Antitrust Story

10.17.2013

Criminal Agreements in Antitrust Prosecutions: Through a Looking Glass

06.01.2011

The Federal Character of Florida's Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act

04.20.2010

Rebuilding Haiti: Beware of the FCPA

06.03.2009

New Case on Class Action Settlement

12.01.2008

Consumer Cases Brought under Rule 23(b)(3): Strategies for Defeating Class Certification

07.15.2008

Special Report: White-Collar Crime

12.01.2007

Knockout? - Two New Challengers Threaten the Department of Justice's Status as Undisputed King of the Antitrust Ring

03.01.2007

Carlton Fields Newsflash - Supreme Court Says Resale Price Maintenance Is No Longer per se Illegal

07.12.2004

Harder to Prosecute?

10.05.2003

Back to the Future: Toward a More Rigorous Analysis of Antitrust Class Actions

05.01.2003

Back to the Future: Toward a More Rigorous Analysis of Antitrust Class Actions

12.11.2002

The Treble Damages Remedy

12.11.2002

State Antitrust Practice and Statutes

12.11.2002

ABA Antitrust Section Health Care Chronicle

12.01.2002

Checkmate in Class Actions: Defensive Strategy in Initial Moves

07.01.2001

Challenging the Reliability of Expert Testimony

01.01.2001

Implement an Antitrust Compliance Program

11.01.1999

Pointers on Preserving the Record

01.01.1995

Can the Antitrust Enforcers Live Up to their Press Billings?

01.01.1994

ABA Antitrust Health Care Handbook II

01.01.1993

Collaboration Prompted By Competition Concerns

01.01.1993

1993 Review of Antitrust Law Developments

01.01.1993

Antitrust Law Developments

01.31.1992

Hospital Antitrust Compliance Programs

01.01.1989

Developments in Robinson-Patman Law

06.15.1988

Antitrust Health Care Handbook

01.01.1988

The Increasingly Pivotal Competitive Injury Requirement in Secondary Line Cases under the Robinson-Patman Act: Relevant Factors in Today's Environment

01.01.1986

Treble Damages Remedy

01.01.1976

Comment, The Budd Co.: The Toehold Defense to a Section 7 Attack

Our Team

Key Contacts



David B. Esau

SHAREHOLDER

 561.650.8015

Additional Members



Scott Abeles

SHAREHOLDER

Washington, D.C.

☎ 202.965.8112



D. Matthew Allen

SHAREHOLDER

Tampa

☎ 813.229.4304



Stephen Beke
SENIOR COUNSEL

Los Angeles
☎ 310.843.6312



Chris S. Coutroulis
SHAREHOLDER

Tampa
☎ 813.229.4301



Kristin A. Gore

SHAREHOLDER

West Palm Beach

📞 561.650.8046



Aaron A. Holman

ASSOCIATE

Orlando

📞 407.244.8229



Austin L. Jackson

ASSOCIATE

Los Angeles

📞 310.843.6338



Amanda Romfh Jesteadt

SHAREHOLDER

West Palm Beach

📞 561.650.0354



Roger S. Kobert

SHAREHOLDER

New York

📞 [212.785.9161](tel:212.785.9161)



Casey R. Hardy McGowan

ASSOCIATE

West Palm Beach

📞 [561.650.8032](tel:561.650.8032)



Donald R. Schmidt

SHAREHOLDER

Tampa

📞 813.229.4319

Related Practices

- Banking, Commercial, and Consumer Finance
- Health Care
- Litigation and Trials
- Mass Tort and Product Liability
- Mergers and Acquisitions
- Pharmaceuticals and Medical Devices
- Telecommunications

- White Collar Crime & Government Investigations
- International Litigation & Arbitration
- Telecom: Transactions
- Telecom: Litigation and Arbitration
- Cannabis Law

Related Industries

- Banking, Commercial, and Consumer Finance
- Health Care
- Telecommunications