CARLTON FIELDS

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Appellate Practice Pointer

Unitherm Food Systems, Inc. v. Swift-Eckrich, Inc.

The United States Supreme Court, in <u>Unitherm Food Systems, Inc. v. Swift-Eckrich, Inc.</u>, 546 U.S. ____, case No. 04-597 (Jan. 23, 2006), has confirmed the need to file a motion under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 50(b) in order to preserve an argument regarding the insufficiency of the evidence for appellate review. The Court addressed and eliminated the confused belief that a court of appeals may be able to grant a new trial based upon insufficiency of the evidence, even in the absence of a Rule 50(b) motion having been filed, in order to avoid a manifest injustice.

For more information, please contact Joseph H. Lang, Jr. at 813.229.4253 or visit www.carltonfields.com.

This publication is not intended as, and does not represent legal advice and should not be relied upon to take the place of such advice. Since factual situations will vary, please feel free to contact a member of the firm for specific interpretation and advice, if you have a question regarding the impact of the information contained herein. The hiring of a lawyer is an important decision that should not be based solely upon advertisements. Before you decide, ask us to send you free written information about our qualifications and experience.