

SEC Curious About Mutual Fund Unicorns

LIFE, ANNUITY, AND RETIREMENT SOLUTIONS | SECURITIES AND DERIVATIVE LITIGATION | OCTOBER 13, 2016



Thomas C. Lauerman

The Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) staff has reportedly been asking mutual funds how they value their investments in “unicorns” – i.e., dynamic pre-IPO companies with market capitalization exceeding \$1 billion, and shares that are not publicly traded. (Once market cap goes above \$10 billion, the term “decacorns” is also used.)

Liquidity and other considerations can limit the amount of a unicorn's shares in which any one mutual fund can invest. Nevertheless, unicorn share prices can be volatile and can have a significant impact on a fund's performance, particularly relative to its “benchmark” index (which generally would not include unicorn shares).

Recently, the performance of unicorn shares, and their popularity among mutual funds, has varied with circumstances. Some analysts, however, have observed that the share prices of unicorns in which mutual funds invest have at times seemed to increase significantly faster than shares of unicorns that receive financing from other sources. This might reflect, among other things, superior investment acumen on the part of the mutual funds, or the increased “demand” that mutual funds create for the shares of those unicorns they find attractive.

Also, for several reasons, a mutual fund's valuation of its investment in unicorn shares generally requires the exercise of considerable judgment, particularly to the extent that such shares do not have active secondary markets. Accordingly, the SEC staff may be seeking to satisfy themselves that mutual funds are ascribing appropriate values to their unicorn investments and are not seeking to make such investments' performance appear more favorable by inflating those values.

Given the SEC staff's apparent interest, now is an opportune time for mutual fund advisers, boards, and valuation committees to carefully review the pricing methodology and practices for the funds' unicorn-type investments, if they have not recently done so.

©2019 Carlton Fields, P.A. Carlton Fields practices law in California through Carlton Fields, LLP. Carlton Fields publications should not be construed as legal advice on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general information and educational purposes only, and should not be relied on as if it were advice about a particular fact situation. The distribution of this publication is not intended to create, and receipt of it does not constitute, an attorney-client relationship with Carlton Fields. This publication may not be quoted or referred to in any other publication or proceeding without the prior written consent of the firm, to be given or withheld at our discretion. To request reprint permission for any of our publications, please use our Contact Us form via the link below. The views set forth herein are the personal views of the author and do not necessarily reflect those of the firm. This site may contain hypertext links to information created and maintained by other entities. Carlton Fields does not control or guarantee the accuracy or completeness of this outside information, nor is the inclusion of a link to be intended as an endorsement of those outside sites.